Information Series 138

Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on

Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage: 2009–2012





This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use of the information contained in this document.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the contractor, who is responsible for the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official policy of the Department of Transportation.

This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names may appear only because they are considered essential to the object of this document.



NAPA Building • 5100 Forbes Blvd. • Lanham, MD 20706-4407 Tel: 301-731-4748 • Fax: 301-731-4621 Toll free: 1-888-468-6499 • www.AsphaltPavement.org Publication Sales: napa-orders@abdintl.com • Toll free: 888-600-4474 Tel: 412-741-6314 • Fax: 412-741-0609

> 3rd Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey IS-138 Produced December 2013

Technical Report Documentation Page

| | reenneu repert Beeunenation ag |
|--|---|
| 4. Title and Subtitle | 5. Report Date |
| Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix | December 2013 |
| Asphalt Usage: 2009–2012 | 6. Performing Organization Code |
| 7. Author(s) | 8. Performing Organization Report No. |
| Kent R. Hansen, P.E. and Audrey Copeland, Ph.D., P.E. | |
| 9. Performing Organization Name and Address | 10. Work Unit No. |
| National Asphalt Pavement Association | 11. Contract or Grant No. |
| 5100 Forbes Blvd. | DTFH61-13-P-00074 |
| Lanham, MD 20706 | |
| 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address | 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final |
| Federal Highway Administration | Final Report 2009–2012 |
| Office of Pavement Technology (HIPT-10) | 14. Sponsoring Agency Code |
| 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE | |
| Washington, DC 20590 | |
| 15. Supplementary Notes | |
| FHWA Contracting Officer's Representative: Victor Lee Gallivan | |
| 16. Abstract | |

One of the shared goals of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the National Asphalt Pavement Association (NAPA) is to support and promote sustainable practices such as pavement recycling and warm-mix asphalt (WMA). The use of recycled materials, primarily reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) and reclaimed asphalt shingles (RAS), in asphalt pavements reduces the amount of new materials required to produce asphalt mixes and material going to landfills. This is vital to the mission of environmental stewardship and extending the service life of the nation's infrastructure while lowering overall costs.

WMA technologies have been introduced to reduce the mixing and compacting temperatures for asphalt mixtures as a means of reducing emissions. Additional benefits include improved compaction of asphalt mixtures leading to improved pavement performance. As part of FHWA's Every Day Counts initiative, WMA was chosen for accelerated deployment in federal-aid highway, state department of transportation (DOT), and local road projects.

It is important for the industry to track the deployment of these technologies, which reduce costs, energy, waste, emissions, and the amount of new materials required for road construction. FHWA has established two survey mechanisms for tracking the use of recycled materials and WMA in asphalt pavements. The first survey tracks state DOT usage and the other tracks industry usage. These have established a baseline of RAP, RAS, and WMA usage and tracked the growth of the use of these sustainable practices in the highway industry since 2009.

The objective of this survey is to quantify the use of recycled materials, and WMA produced by the asphalt pavement industry. Survey results show significant growth in the use of RAP, RAS, and WMA technologies from 2009 through 2012. The asphalt industry remains the country's number-one recycler by recycling asphalt pavements at a rate of over 99 percent and almost all (98 percent) contractors/branches report using RAP in 2012. The amount of RAP used in asphalt mixtures has increased by 22 percent, from 56 million tons in 2009 to 68.3 million tons in 2012. Assuming 5 percent liquid asphalt in RAP, this represents over 3.4 million tons (19 million barrels) of asphalt binder conserved during 2012. The estimated savings at \$600 per ton for asphalt binder is \$2.04 billion.

Use of both manufacturers' scrap and post-consumer asphalt shingles used in asphalt mixes increased 165 percent from 2009 to nearly 1.9 million tons 2012. Assuming a conservative asphalt content of 20 percent for the RAS, this represents about 380,000 tons (2.1 million barrels) of asphalt binder conserved. The estimated savings at \$600 per ton for asphalt binder is \$228 million.

For 2012 contractors were asked about their use of other recycled materials in asphalt mixtures. Contractors in 13 states reported using steel and blast furnace slag in asphalt mixtures. Ground tire rubber (GTR) was reported as being used in asphalt mixtures in nine states. Other recycled materials used to a lesser degree include fly ash, bottom ash, foundry slag, cellulose fiber, and glass. Due to the low response rate on other recycled materials no attempt was made to estimate the total quantities of other recycled materials used.

In 2012, WMA was over 24 percent of the total asphalt mixture market. WMA use increased by nearly 26 percent from 2011 to 2012, and about 416 percent since 2009. Plant foaming is used most often in producing WMA, with over 88 percent of the market. WMA additives accounted for about 12 percent of the market.

| 17. Key Words | | 18. Distribution Statem | ent | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Reclaimed asphalt pavement, reclaimed | asphalt shingles, | No Restrictions | | |
| warm-mix asphalt, RAP, RAS, WMA, recy | cled materials | | | |
| 19. Security Classif. (of this report) | 20. Security Classif, (of | this page) | 21. No. of Pages | 22. Price |
| Unclassified | | 28 | | |
| Unclassified | Unclassified | · · · | 28 | |

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of completed page authorized

Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage: 2009–2012

Executive Summary

The 2012 survey results show that the asphalt pavement industry continues to improve its outstanding record of sustainable practices by further increasing the use of recycled materials and warm-mix asphalt (WMA). The use of recycled materials such as reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) and reclaimed asphalt shingles (RAS) conserve raw materials and reduce overall asphalt mixture costs while WMA technologies improve conditions for achieving performance and long life, conserve energy, reduce emissions from production and paving operations, and improve conditions for workers.

The objective of this survey was to quantify the use of recycled materials, including RAP and RAS, and WMA produced by the asphalt pavement industry. The National Asphalt Pavement Association (NAPA) conducted a voluntary survey of asphalt mixture producers in the United States and state asphalt pavement associations (SAPAs). The survey was broken into five sections: general information, RAP, RAS, WMA, and other recycled materials. Asphalt mix producers from 48 states and Puerto Rico completed the 2012 survey. No survey information was available for the District of Columbia, North Dakota, or New Mexico. A total of 213 companies/branches with 1,141 plants are represented in the 2012 survey.

The following are highlights of the 2012 survey:

- The asphalt industry remains the country's number-one recycler by recycling asphalt pavements at a rate of over 99 percent. About 98 percent of the contractors/branches reported using RAP in 2012, the same as in 2011. The amount of RAP used in asphalt mixtures was 68.3 million tons in 2012, a 22.0 percent increase over the tons used in 2009 (56 million tons) and over a 2 percent increase over the tons used in 2011 (66.7 million tons). However total asphalt tonnage was down from 2011 to 2012, so in term of percent of total tonnage there was a 4 percent increase in the use of RAP from 2011 to 2012. Assuming 5 percent liquid asphalt in RAP, this represents over 3.4 million tons (19 million barrels) of asphalt binder conserved. Similar to 2010 and 2011, less than a 0.3 percent of RAP was sent to landfills.
- For the first time in the survey history more RAP was used than was collected. This is primarily due to less RAP being collected than in the prior two years. For 2010 and 2011 an estimated 73.5 and 79.1 million tons of RAP were accepted, respectively. For 2012, 71.3 million tons of RAP were accepted for a 10 percent drop from 2011 to 2012.
- Use of both manufacturers' scrap and post-consumer shingles increased from nearly 1.2 million tons in 2011 to nearly 1.9 million tons in 2012, a 56 percent increase. Assuming a conservative asphalt content of 20 percent for the RAS, this represents 380,000 tons (2.2 million barrels) of asphalt binder conserved. As with RAP, this is the first time the amount of scrap shingles collected was less than the amount used for all purposes. This is due to fewer scrap shingles being collected by asphalt mix producers in 2012 than the prior two years, producers buying from shingle processors, and an increase in the amount of RAS used in asphalt mixtures.
- Information on other recycled materials was obtained for the first time in this year's survey. The most commonly used materials in asphalt mixtures were blast furnace slag, steel slag, and ground tire rubber. Less commonly used recycled materials included; fly ash, bottom ash, foundry sand, cellulose fiber, and glass.
- Total tonnage of WMA is estimated at 86.7 million tons in 2012. This was over a 26 percent increase over 2012 WMA tonnage (68.7 million tons). In 2012, WMA was about 24 percent of the total asphalt mixture market. Plant foaming is used most often in producing WMA, with more than 88 percent of the market; additives accounted for about 12 percent of the market.

Background

One of the shared goals of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the National Asphalt Pavement Association (NAPA) is to support and promote sustainable practices such as incorporating recycled materials in pavements and the use of warm-mix asphalt (WMA). Reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) ranks as the single-most-recycled material in the United States and is vital to the mission of extending the service life of the nation's infrastructure while lowering overall costs. Another recycled material increasingly used in asphalt mixtures is reclaimed asphalt shingles (RAS) from both manufacturers' waste and post-consumer shingles. The use of RAP and RAS in asphalt pavements reduces the amount of material going to landfills and can reduce the amount of new asphalt binder required in mixes, which helps to stabilize the price of asphalt mixtures. Other recycled materials incorporated into asphalt pavements include: ground tire rubber (GTR), steel slag, and blast furnace slag, among others. These materials reduce the amount of material going to landfills, improving the sustainability of asphalt mixtures.

WMA technologies reduce the mixing and compacting temperatures for asphalt mixtures. Environmental benefits include reductions in both fuel consumption and air emissions. Construction benefits include the ability to extend the paving season into the cooler months, haul the material longer distances, improve compaction, and use higher percentages of RAP (Prowell, et al., 2012). As part of FHWA's Every Day Counts initiative, WMA was chosen for accelerated deployment in federal-aid highway, state department of transportation (DOT), and local road projects. In 2013, WMA was honored with the Construction Innovation Forum's NOVA Award for its engineering, economic, and environmental benefits (CIF, 2013).

FHWA works closely with the pavement industry through associations and other stakeholders to promote pavement recycling technologies and WMA. As part of this effort, FHWA has established two survey mechanisms for tracking the use of recycled materials and WMA in asphalt pavements. The first survey tracks state DOT usage and the other tracks industry usage. Since 2007, FHWA has partnered with the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) to conduct a biennial survey of state DOTs' use of recycled materials (Copeland, 2011; Copeland, et al., 2010; Pappas, 2011). The results of the FHWA/AASHTO survey are typically presented at FHWA Expert Task Group meetings. For the second survey, FHWA partners with NAPA to survey asphalt producers to determine industry use of RAP, RAS, other recycled materials, as well as WMA technologies. These surveys have established a baseline of RAP, RAS, and WMA usage and tracked the growth of the use of these sustainable practices in the highway industry.

The FHWA/NAPA industry survey first began in 2010 and was repeated in 2011. The survey results showed significant growth in the use of RAP, RAS, and WMA technologies from 2009 to 2011 (Hansen & Newcomb, 2011) (Hansen, 2013). In order to continue to track the use of these technologies, FHWA again partnered with NAPA to conduct a similar survey of RAP, RAS, and WMA use for 2012. This report documents the results of the 2012 industry survey, including the survey methodology, results, trends, and changes from 2009 through 2012. For 2012, the survey also asked about the use of other recycled materials used in asphalt mixtures. The survey questions and data by state are included in the appendices.

Objective and Scope

The objective of this effort is to quantify the use of recycled materials and WMA produced by the asphalt pavement industry. NAPA conducted a voluntary survey of asphalt mixture producers in the United States and of state asphalt pavement associations (SAPAs). While keeping specific producer data confidential, NAPA staff compiled the amount of asphalt mixtures being produced; the amount of RAP, RAS, and other recycled material used; and the amount of WMA being produced in the United States. The data are broken out on a state-by-state basis in Appendix B. The data are analyzed and summarized in this report. In order to accomplish this work, the following tasks were conducted:

 Develop an online survey similar to the 2009–2011 surveys that enables an analysis of the quantities of RAP and RAS being used in asphalt mixtures, as well as the total amount of WMA produced nationally. For 2012 information on other recycled materials used in asphalt mixtures was gathered, too.

- 2. Conduct a voluntary survey of asphalt mix producers throughout the United States and follow up with verbal requests for information in locations where responses were low.
- 3. Estimate the total asphalt mixture market in each state or territory by using data from responding SAPAs and the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway apportionment to determine a weighting factor for each state and reconciling the total U.S. asphalt mix tonnage with national estimates.
- 4. Analyze and summarize the information nationally and by state and prepare a final report.

Survey Methodology

The survey was conducted using a web survey service, SurveyMonkey[®]. Sections 1 through 4 of the survey for 2012 were identical to the surveys used for 2009 through 2011 (Hansen & Newcomb, 2011; Hansen, 2013). Section 5 was added for 2012 to collect information on the use of other recycled material in asphalt mixtures. A copy of the 2012 survey is included as Appendix A.

Producers were notified of the survey through several forums and electronic media. A notice was posted in NAPA's e-newsletter, *ActionNews*, informing members of the survey and asking for their participation. SAPAs participated by placing notices on their websites and in their newsletters. Announcements were made at NAPA meetings, as well as at several state asphalt conferences. A press release was sent to construction industry trade media and republished in print and to their websites. Notices of the survey and links were shared through social media channels, including Twitter, Facebook, and LinkedIn. Asphalt mixture producers then went to the website and completed the survey form. After the initial data was gathered and analyzed, anomalies in individual producer records were identified and reconciled.

The survey was broken into five sections. These sections were general information, RAP, RAS, WMA, and other recycled materials. Table 1 summarizes the questions asked in each section.

| Section 1: General Information | Sections 2 and 3: RAP & RAS | Section 4: WMA | Section 5: Other Recycled Materials |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Number of Plants | Tons Accepted | Average % Produced for DOT Tons | Other Recycled Materials Used |
| DOT Tons | Tons Used in HMA/WMA | Average % Produced for Other Agency Tons | Tons of HMA/WMA Produced Using Each Recycled Material |
| Other Agency Tons | Tons Used in Aggregate | Average % Produced for Commercial & Residential Tons | Tons of Other Recycled Product Used |
| Commercial & Residential Tons | Tons Used in Cold Mix | Chemical Additive % | |
| | Tons Used in Other | Additive Foaming % | |
| | Tons Landfilled | Plant Foaming % | |
| | Average % for DOT Mixes | Organic Additive % | |
| | Average % for Other Agency Mixes | | |
| | Average % for Commercial & Residential Mixes | | |

Table 1: Survey Questions Summary

Most surveys were completed online with one multistate contractor collecting data from its different operations and submitting them in spreadsheet form. Data from the online survey was imported into a spreadsheet and checked for accuracy and missing data. When anomalies in the data were noted, the person submitting the data was contacted to resolve the anomaly.

To determine the total amount of RAP, and RAS used and WMA produced in each state and in the nation, the total amount of asphalt mix produced in each state needed to be determined. Total tonnage of asphalt mix produced represents commercial (i.e., private) and government (i.e., DOTs and local agencies) tonnages. Estimated tonnages were

provided by SAPAs in 36 states/territories which totaled about 299 million tons. This included one SAPA that supplied DOT-estimated tonnages. For this state, the total tonnage was estimated by dividing the DOT tonnage by the percent of DOT tons provided by asphalt mix producers in that state who completed the survey. To estimate the total tons in states where a SAPA estimate of total tonnage was not available, the total asphalt mixture tonnage was estimated through a relationship developed for those states where SAPA estimated tons were available and their federal highway apportionment. This is the same methodology used to estimate tonnage in the 2009–2011 surveys; for more details see Hansen & Newcomb (2011). This resulted in the following power curve relationship:

Total Estimated Tons = 0.6934 × (State Federal Apportionment)^{0.8009}

This formula was then used to estimate the tonnage for states with no SAPA estimate based on the state's federal apportionment.

Survey Results

Asphalt mix producers from 48 states and Puerto Rico completed the survey. There is no 2012 survey information available for the District of Columbia, New Mexico or North Dakota. In 2009–2010 and 2011, 48 and 49 jurisdictions completed the survey, respectively. A total of 213 companies/branches with 1,141 plants are represented in the 2012 survey. In the 2009–2010, and 2011 surveys, 1,027, and 1,091 plants were represented, respectively. Table 2 summarizes the number of companies/branches and the number of plants reporting for each state.

| | 2009- | -2010 | 201 | 1 | 201 | 2 | | 2009–2 | 2010 | 201 | 1 | 201 | 2 |
|----------------------|-------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|
| State | Cos. | Plants | Cos. | Plants | Cos. | Plants | State | Cos. | Plants | Cos. | Plants | Cos. | Plants |
| Alabama | 3 | 17 | 5 | 38 | 4 | 31 | Montana | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 |
| Alaska | 3 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | Nebraska | I | _ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Arizona | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | Nevada | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 14 |
| Arkansas | 3 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 17 | New Hampshire | 1 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 14 |
| California | 6 | 49 | 4 | 48 | 4 | 36 | New Jersey | 2 | 21 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 19 |
| Colorado | 8 | 26 | 7 | 24 | 5 | 16 | New Mexico | - | _ | 1 | 3 | _ | _ |
| Connecticut | 2 | 18 | 3 | 23 | 1 | 16 | New York | 13 | 68 | 11 | 64 | 11 | 68 |
| Delaware | 1 | 3 | _ | _ | 3 | 6 | North Carolina | 6 | 52 | 5 | 29 | 6 | 35 |
| District of Columbia | _ | _ | - | _ | - | _ | North Dakota | - | _ | 3 | 8 | _ | — |
| Florida | 6 | 61 | 4 | 22 | 5 | 34 | Ohio | 5 | 50 | 5 | 87 | 6 | 102 |
| Georgia | 2 | 16 | 6 | 66 | 6 | 67 | Oklahoma | 4 | 20 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 14 |
| Hawaii | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | Oregon | 6 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 6 | 15 |
| Idaho | 5 | 17 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 8 | Pennsylvania | 17 | 63 | 7 | 34 | 15 | 66 |
| Illinois | 16 | 44 | 7 | 24 | 5 | 10 | Puerto Rico | 1 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 13 |
| Indiana | 3 | 19 | 4 | 29 | 5 | 28 | Rhode Island | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| lowa | 7 | 16 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 28 | South Carolina | 4 | 16 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 15 |
| Kansas | 6 | 25 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 20 | South Dakota | 1 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 3 | 24 | 4 | 19 | 5 | 38 | Tennessee | 2 | 10 | 7 | 72 | 5 | 32 |
| Louisiana | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | Texas | 7 | 38 | 9 | 41 | 10 | 51 |
| Maine | 2 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 18 | Utah | 5 | 30 | 6 | 17 | 6 | 16 |
| Maryland | 4 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 23 | Vermont | 1 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 |
| Massachusetts | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 14 | Virginia | 5 | 38 | 7 | 26 | 8 | 46 |
| Michigan | 4 | 40 | 6 | 39 | 4 | 28 | Washington | 6 | 39 | 4 | 30 | 4 | 29 |
| Minnesota | 2 | 4 | 6 | 26 | 4 | 20 | West Virginia | 1 | 14 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 14 |
| Mississippi | 1 | 12 | 4 | 26 | 3 | 16 | Wisconsin | 1 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 16 |
| Missouri | 6 | 35 | 7 | 38 | 5 | 35 | Wyoming | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 7 |

Table 2: No. of Companies/Branches Completing Survey in State

The average tons produced per plant was 121,000, 117,000, 121,000, and 122,000 for 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively.

|--|

| Table 3: Summary of Estimated and Reported Plant Mix Asphalt Tons by State | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------|--|
| State | 2009 | | 20 | | 20: | 11 | 2012 | | |
| State | Estimated | Reported | Estimated | Reported | Estimated | Reported | Estimated | Reported | |
| Alabama | 7.50 | 1.75 | 8.00 | 1.09 | 8.00 | 4.24 | 8.00 | 3.37 | |
| Alaska | 3.67 | 0.82 | 4.41 | 1.15 | 5.98 | 0.20 | 5.46 | 0.35 | |
| Arizona | 7.50 | 0.42 | 7.14 | 0.71 | 8.00 | 0.91 | 7.55 | 1.04 | |
| Arkansas | 3.05 | 0.71 | 4.15 | 0.78 | 5.56 | 0.53 | 4.20 | 1.41 | |
| California | 19.97 | 8.44 | 13.79 | 7.68 | 23.00 | 9.38 | 22.50 | 4.06 | |
| Colorado | 7.72 | 3.00 | 10.52 | 2.62 | 6.50 | 2.50 | 6.50 | 1.48 | |
| Connecticut | 4.96 | 2.20 | 5.01 | 1.79 | 4.34 | 2.95 | 4.00 | 1.84 | |
| Delaware | 0.79 | 0.35 | 0.65 | 0.25 | 2.08 | | 2.20 | 0.71 | |
| District of Columbia | 1.62 | | 1.81 | | 1.71 | _ | 1.85 | | |
| Florida | 14.70 | 6.91 | 13.00 | 5.81 | 13.57 | 3.01 | 12.38 | 3.82 | |
| Georgia | 13.00 | 1.39 | 11.70 | 1.34 | 9.50 | 7.29 | 8.00 | 7.78 | |
| Hawaii | 1.73 | 0.40 | 1.91 | 0.33 | 1.81 | 0.54 | 1.20 | 0.23 | |
| Idaho | 3.00 | 1.13 | 3.09 | 1.14 | 3.45 | 0.54 | 3.49 | 0.25 | |
| Illinois | 19.25 | 7.81 | 17.60 | 7.17 | 13.94 | 2.12 | 13.50 | 1.16 | |
| Indiana | 9.60 | 3.28 | 7.90 | 3.06 | 9.50 | 4.07 | 10.00 | 4.44 | |
| Iowa | 4.74 | 3.54 | 3.45 | 1.99 | 3.30 | 1.31 | 4.26 | 2.80 | |
| Kansas | 4.17 | 2.08 | 7.12 | 1.85 | 4.00 | 1.67 | 4.50 | 1.55 | |
| Kentucky | 7.00 | 1.72 | 7.00 | 1.74 | 7.00 | 1.86 | 9.00 | 2.90 | |
| Louisiana | 6.00 | 1.72 | 6.00 | 1.74 | 6.00 | 0.58 | 5.50 | 0.59 | |
| Maine | 1.80 | 1.61 | 2.03 | 1.60 | 1.91 | 1.56 | 2.36 | 1.82 | |
| Maryland | 7.20 | 1.01 | 6.50 | 1.00 | 6.50 | 1.73 | 6.50 | 4.01 | |
| Massachusetts | 6.00 | 1.54 | 6.00 | 1.00 | 6.00 | 1.17 | 6.00 | 1.64 | |
| Michigan | 11.50 | 7.49 | 10.80 | 7.03 | 10.00 | 6.54 | 10.00 | 5.16 | |
| Minnesota | 12.50 | 0.42 | 13.10 | 0.29 | 13.00 | 4.94 | 13.00 | 4.73 | |
| Mississippi | 4.62 | 1.45 | 4.79 | 1.41 | 6.00 | 2.67 | 4.00 | 1.98 | |
| Missouri | 7.13 | 3.02 | 4.79 | 3.19 | 8.00 | 4.06 | 6.24 | 3.23 | |
| Montana | 3.78 | 0.19 | 3.99 | 0.17 | 4.89 | 0.59 | 4.80 | 0.51 | |
| Nebraska | 2.96 | 0.19 | 3.09 | 0.1/ | 3.37 | 0.59 | 3.43 | 0.31 | |
| Nevada | 3.11 | 0.43 | 3.57 | 0.43 | 4.24 | 0.11 | 4.18 | 1.58 | |
| New Hampshire | 1.86 | 1.25 | 1.94 | 1.18 | 1.92 | 0.43 | 2.05 | 1.58 | |
| New Jersey | 9.33 | 3.28 | 9.09 | 2.87 | 8.73 | 3.24 | 8.09 | 3.08 | |
| New Mexico | 3.78 | 5.20 | 3.84 | 2.07 | 4.48 | 0.52 | 4.44 | 5.00 | |
| New York | 16.00 | 5.65 | 16.00 | 5.54 | 16.50 | 5.88 | 14.50 | 6.00 | |
| North Carolina | 9.37 | 4.95 | 12.11 | 5.66 | 11.00 | 2.90 | 13.00 | 3.93 | |
| North Dakota | 2.55 | | 2.70 | 5.00 | 3.89 | 1.05 | 3.03 | | |
| Ohio | 14.50 | 5.69 | 15.10 | 6.23 | 14.30 | 10.81 | 16.30 | 12.68 | |
| Oklahoma | 5.74 | 2.47 | 5.99 | 2.16 | 5.20 | 1.91 | 6.50 | 12.08 | |
| Oregon | 5.22 | 1.27 | 4.81 | 1.16 | 4.91 | 1.91 | 5.14 | 1.09 | |
| Pennsylvania | 17.40 | 10.97 | 18.30 | 11.66 | 16.83 | 4.17 | 15.86 | 9.03 | |
| Puerto Rico | 2.49 | 0.97 | 1.44 | 0.75 | 10.85 | 0.74 | 2.06 | 9.03 1.34 | |
| Rhode Island | 2.49 | 0.97 | 2.34 | 0.19 | 1.19 | 0.21 | 1.87 | 0.06 | |
| South Carolina | 6.23 | 1.77 | 6.14 | 1.98 | 6.00 | 0.85 | 5.15 | 1.62 | |
| South Dakota | 2.73 | 0.16 | 2.96 | 0.22 | 2.17 | 0.83 | 3.51 | 0.56 | |
| Tennessee | 7.95 | 1.07 | 7.87 | 0.22 | 9.04 | 7.05 | 8.00 | 2.79 | |
| Texas | 14.77 | 4.23 | 16.54 | 5.73 | 13.67 | 6.36 | 15.85 | 8.79 | |
| Utah | 3.14 | 3.71 | 3.35 | 3.23 | 4.00 | 2.77 | 3.99 | 3.19 | |
| Vermont | 1.74 | 0.51 | 2.12 | 0.80 | 1.96 | 0.71 | 2.13 | 0.74 | |
| Virginia | | | | 4.51 | | | | | |
| 0 | 9.10 | 4.64 | 10.90 5.70 | | 13.10 | 4.06 | 12.00 | 6.78 | |
| Washington | 5.70 | 4.65 | 5.70 | 4.46 | 4.20 | 3.26 | 4.20 | 2.99 | |
| West Virginia | 2.90 | 1.40 | 3.00 | 1.79 | 3.75 | 2.10 | 3.50 | 1.65 | |
| Wisconsin | 10.52 | 0.50 | 11.96 | 0.50 | 13.00 | 1.53 | 11.20 | 4.22 | |
| Wyoming | 2.77 | 0.15 | 2.83 | 0.20 | 3.25 | 0.32 | 3.32 | 0.30 | |

Note: Shaded cells indicate states and years where the SAPA provided data used to compute total estimated value. Where no data was available on total tons, a relationship between tonnage and federal apportionment was used to estimate the total tons for states.

Table 3 includes the estimated tonnage for each state as given by the SAPA or estimated from the federal apportionment and includes the reported tonnage for each state from the survey results. Figures 1–4 illustrate the Table 3 data and provide another perspective on the survey responses that represent the ratio of the tons reported in each state to the total estimated tons for each year, 2009–2012. The closer a state's number is to 100 indicates that the reported tonnage from the survey matches the estimated tonnage provided by the SAPA or estimated from the federal apportionment. The data reported in the survey represent about 39 percent of the estimated total U.S. tonnage for 2012.



Figure 1: 2009 Reported Tons as a Percent of Estimated Total Tons



Figure 2: 2010 Reported Tons as a Percent of Estimated Total Tons



Figure 3: 2011 Reported Tons as a Percent of Estimated Total Tons



Figure 4: 2012 Reported Tons as a Percent of Estimated Total Tons

Figure 5 shows the number of plants as well as the average tons produced per plant separated by different user/producer group regions. The tons/plant for the Northeast Asphalt User/Producer Group (NEAUPG), North Central Asphalt User/Producer Group (NCAUPG), and Southeast Asphalt User/Producer Group (SEAUPG) increased slightly from 2011 to 2012. The tons/plant for Rocky Mountain Asphalt User/Producer Group (RMAUPG) and Pacific Coast Conference on Asphalt Specification (PCCAS) dropped significantly from 2011 to 2012, but is closer to the values reported in 2010. The number of plants represented in the 2012 survey increased for both NEAUPG and SEAUPG. Both NCAUPG and RMAUPG/PCCAS saw a drop in the number of plants represented in the survey. For RMAUPG/PCCAS, this is the third consecutive drop in the number of plants reporting.



Figure 5: Number of Plants Responding to Survey by User/Producer Group Regions and Estimated Tonnage for Each Year

Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement

Table 4 summarizes the RAP, RAS, and WMA data from the surveys. The information asked for in the survey is shown in Appendix A and summarized in Table 1. Producers were not asked about allowable RAP or binder replacement requirements. Based on the total estimated tons of RAP received for a given survey year and the amount used for all purposes, including landfilling, there was an estimated 2.3 million, 1.7 million, and 6.3 million tons of RAP stockpiled for future use in 2009, 2010, and 2011, respectively, out of a total of 67.2 million, 73.5 million, and 72.8 million tons received, respectively. In 2012, however, total RAP usage exceeded the amount of RAP accepted by 1.2 million tons.

Figure 5 is a visual representation of the estimated total tons of RAP used in asphalt mixes, aggregate, cold mix, other uses, and landfilled. The overwhelming majority of RAP is used in hot-mix asphalt (HMA) or warm-mix asphalt, which is the most optimal use of RAP. It is estimated that less than 0.1 percent was sent to landfills in 2009 and 2010; less than 0.4 percent in 2011; and less than 0.3 percent in 2012.

| ۵D | le 4: Summary of RAP, RAS, WMA Data | | Reported Values | | | Total Estimated Value | | | |
|----------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|-------|
| | | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | t r r r | | | 2012 |
| Τα | ons of HMA/WMA Produced | | Tons (N | | | | | Millions) | |
| | Total | 124.0 | 119.8 | 131.7 | 139.0 | 358.4 | 359.8 | 366.0 | 360.3 |
| | DOT | 56.9 | 55.6 | 63.1 | 69.1 | 169.2 | 172.5 | 175.3 | 179.1 |
| | Other Agency | 28.1 | 27.8 | 36.4 | 32.8 | 83.5 | 86.2 | 101.2 | 84.9 |
| | Commercial and Residential | 35.6 | 32.6 | 32.2 | 37.1 | 105.8 | 101.2 | 89.5 | 96.2 |
| | Companies/Branches Reporting | | 96 | 203 | 213 | | | | |
| R/ | AP | | Tons (I | (illions) | | | Tons (I | Millions) | |
| | Accepted | 23.2 | 24.0 | 29.8 | 29.1 | 67.2 | 73.5 | 79.1 | 71.3 |
| | Used in HMA/WMA | 20.1 | 21.6 | 25.1 | 27.2 | 56.0 | 62.1 | 66.7 | 68.3 |
| | Used in Aggregate | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 3.6 |
| | Used in Cold Mix | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | Used in Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| | Landfilled | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| | | Ave | erage % l | Jsed in Mi | ixes | | | | |
| | Average % for DOT Mixes ¹ | 12.5% | 13.2% | 15.8% | 18.1% | | | | |
| | Average % for Other Agency Mixes ¹ | 14.0% | 15.2% | 16.7% | 18.2% | | | | |
| | Average % for Commercial & Residential ¹ | 17.5% | 18.0% | 19.7% | 20.5% | | | | |
| | National Average All Mixes, Based on % Reported for Different Sectors ¹ | 15.6% | 17.2% | 18.2% | 18.9% | | | | |
| | National Average All Mixes, Based on RAP Tons Used in HMA/WMA ² | 16.2% | 18.0% | 19.1% | 19.6% | | | | |
| | Companies/Branches Reporting Using RAP | 189 | 189 | 198 | 208 | | | | |
| R/ | AS | Tons (Thousands) | | | Tons (Thousands) | | | | |
| | Accepted | 332 | 559 | 769 | 693 | 957 | 1,851 | 2,500 | 1,72 |
| | Used in HMA/WMA | 246 | 393 | 430 | 783 | 702 | 1,100 | 1,192 | 1,86 |
| | Used in Aggregate | 5 | 3 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 74 | 73 |
| | Used in Cold Mix | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | _ | — |
| | Used in Other | 39 | 35 | _ | 4 | 123 | 125 | — | 12 |
| | Landfilled | _ | 0.5 | 0.1 | — | | 7 | 0.2 | _ |
| | | Ave | erage % l | Jsed in Mi | ixes | | | | |
| | Average % for DOT Mixes ¹ | 0.33% | 0.78% | 0.66% | 0.83% | | | | |
| | Average % for Other Agency Mixes ¹ | 0.37% | 0.47% | 0.93% | 0.90% | | | | |
| | Average % for Commercial & Residential ¹ | 0.63% | 0.81% | 1.04% | 1.25% | | | | |
| | National Average All Mixes, Based on RAS Tons Used in HMA/WMA ² | 0.20% | 0.33% | 0.33% | 0.56% | | | | |
| | Companies/Branches Reporting Using RAP | 44 | 61 | 81 | 87 | | | | |
| N | MA | | % Total F | roduction | 1 | | Tons (I | Millions) | |
| | DOT | 6.3% | 15.0% | 23.5% | 30.8% | 8.6 | 20.0 | 34.6 | 46.4 |
| | Other Agency | 4.4% | 11.7% | 18.2% | 24.5% | 3.6 | 9.8 | 16.3 | 18. |
| | Commercial and Residential | 4.5% | 11.6% | 19.9% | 22.8% | 4.6 | 11.3 | 17.8 | 21.4 |
| | Total | | | | | 16.8 | 41.1 | 68.7 | 86. |
| | | | % of M | Market | | | | | |
| | Chemical Additive % | 15.0% | 6% | 4.1% | 9.6% | | | | |
| | Additive Foaming % | 2.0% | 1% | 0.2% | 2.1% | | | | |
| | Plant Foaming % | 83.0% | 92% | 95.4% | 88.1% | | | | |
| | Organic Additive % | 0.3% | 1% | 0.3% | 0.2% | | | | |
| | Companies/Branches Reporting Using WMA | 85 | 121 | 150 | 161 | | | | |
| ¹ A | Organic Additive % | 0.3% 85 centage fo | 1% 121 or each sec | 0.3% 150 tor. | 0.2% 161 | I total tor | | | |

² Average percent based on total reported tons of RAP used in HMA/WMA divided by reported total tons HMA/WMA produced.



Figure 6: RAP Tons by Final Use (Million Tons)

Figure 6 shows the total estimated amount of RAP used in the different industry sectors. These values were calculated using the average percentages of RAP reported for the different sectors and adjusted to account for the difference between reported RAP tons and tons calculated from the percentage by sector.



Figure 7: RAP Use by Sector (Million Tons)

Figures 8–11 and Table 5 show the average percent of RAP used in the different states based on reported RAP and total tons. It should be noted that the accuracy of data for individual states will vary depending on the number of responses received from each state and the total number of tons represented by the responses. Comparing Figures 8–11, the number of states averaging more than 20 percent RAP in HMA/WMA (colored lime and dark green in the charts) increased steadily from nine states in 2009 to 20 states in 2012. The use of increased amounts of RAP has quickly spread in the Midwest and West. For example Idaho average percent RAP increased steadily from 6 percent in 2009 to 28 percent in 2012.

For 2011 and 2012, 98 percent of the contractors/branches reported using RAP, and more than 89 percent of these contractors reported excess RAP in 2012. From 2011 to 2012, the amount of RAP used in HMA/WMA increased from 66.7 million to 68.3 million tons, a modest 2 percent increase despite a decrease in total tonnage for the industry. The average percent RAP used in mixes has increased from about 19 percent in 2011 to about 20 percent in 2012.



Figure 8: Estimated Average Percent of RAP by State for 2009



Figure 9: Estimated Average Percent of RAP by State for 2010



Figure 10: Estimated Average Percent of RAP by State for 2011



Figure 11: Estimated Average Percent of RAP by State for 2012

| | Ave | erage R | AP Perc | ent | | Ave | erage R. | AP Perc | ent |
|-------------------|----------------------------|---------|---------|------|----------------|------|----------|---------|------|
| State | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Alabama | 19% | 25% | 21% | 22% | Montana | 7% | 8% | 8% | 10% |
| Alaska | 5% | 3% | 13% | 8% | Nebraska | NR | NR | 30% | 22% |
| Arizona | 13% | 5% | 11% | 14% | Nevada | 6% | 7% | 10% | 11% |
| Arkansas | 10% | 11% | 10% | 10% | New Hampshire | 15% | 18% | 21% | 19% |
| California | 10% | 19% | 9% | 16% | New Jersey | 4% | 17% | 16% | 16% |
| Colorado | 19% | 19% | 24% | 29% | New Mexico | NR | NR | 18% | NR |
| Connecticut | 15% | 17% | 13% | 21% | New York | 10% | 11% | 16% | 13% |
| Delaware | 20% | 20% | NR | 28% | North Carolina | 20% | 22% | 24% | 15% |
| Dist. of Columbia | NR | NR | NR | NR | North Dakota | NR | NR | 11% | NR |
| Florida | 24% | 24% | 30% | 27% | Ohio | 23% | 24% | 23% | 24% |
| Georgia | 19% | 22% | 23% | 23% | Oklahoma | 12% | 13% | 18% | 12% |
| Hawaii | 10% | 9% | 11% | 14% | Oregon | 26% | 25% | 24% | 24% |
| Idaho | 6% | 10% | 23% | 28% | Pennsylvania | 13% | 13% | 16% | 16% |
| Illinois | 18% | 20% | 16% | 30% | Puerto Rico | 0% | 0% | 2% | 20% |
| Indiana | 23% | 24% | 26% | 23% | Rhode Island | 11% | 11% | 8% | 2% |
| Iowa | 12% | 17% | 14% | 15% | South Carolina | 17% | 20% | 22% | 24% |
| Kansas | 18% | 20% | 20% | 20% | South Dakota | 12% | 6% | 18% | 20% |
| Kentucky | 9% | 9% | 9% | 10% | Tennessee | 20% | 17% | 14% | 20% |
| Louisiana | 18% | 18% | 18% | 19% | Texas | 11% | 10% | 13% | 16% |
| Maine | 13% | 14% | 15% | 15% | Utah | 19% | 21% | 25% | 19% |
| Maryland | 19% | 21% | 24% | 22% | Vermont | 21% | 20% | 17% | 23% |
| Massachusetts | 14% | 14% | 11% | 16% | Virginia | 21% | 28% | 26% | 26% |
| Michigan | 27% | 30% | 36% | 34% | Washington | 18% | 16% | 16% | 15% |
| Minnesota | 16% | 19% | 22% | 20% | West Virginia | 10% | 11% | 11% | 12% |
| Mississippi | 16% | 17% | 18% | 19% | Wisconsin | 15% | 15% | 16% | 14% |
| Missouri | 12% | 12% | 19% | 19% | Wyoming | 6% | 5% | 1% | 2% |
| NR | = No Contractors Reporting | | | | | | | | |

Table 5: Estimated Average Percent of RAP by State

| INIX | |
|------|----------|
| % | = 0–9% |
| % | = 10–14% |
| % | = 15–19% |
| % | = 20–29% |
| % | ≥ 30% |

Reclaimed Asphalt Shingles

Table 4 includes the summary of RAS data from the surveys. The information asked for in the survey is shown in Appendix A and summarized in Table 1. Producers were not asked about allowable RAS or binder replacement requirements. In previous surveys, more unprocessed shingles were received than were used for all purposes including landfilling. In 2012 this changed with more than 200,000 tons of RAS used for all purposes than was received. Contractors reporting the use of more RAS than they received indicated that they either had RAS stockpiled or were purchasing it from shingle processors. From 2011 to 2012, the amount of RAS accepted by producers decreased by 31 percent. No RAS was reported as landfilled in 2012; in 2011, the amount landfilled was about 0.008 percent. In 2011, no RAS was reported as being used for other purposes; in 2012 less than 0.7 percent of RAS accepted was used for other purposes.

Figure 12 shows the total estimated amount of RAS used. From 2011 to 2012 there was a large increase, 56 percent, in the amount of RAS used in asphalt mixtures. This is due to a steady increase in a number of states, including Alabama, where the average RAS percentage for all mixes is slightly greater than 3 percent. RAS use in asphalt mixtures saw a similarly large increase, 57 percent, from 2009 to 2010 but a more modest increase, 8 percent, from 2010 to 2011. Similar to RAP, RAS is primarily used in HMA/WMA. Figure 13 summarizes how RAS was used in the different sectors of the paving market. These values were calculated using the average percentages of RAS reported for the different sectors and were adjusted to account for the difference in reported RAS tons and the tons calculated from the percentage by sector. There was a large increase in the use of RAS by DOTs and the commercial/residential sector, and a modest increase in public agencies other than DOTs. The number of companies/branches using RAS increased from 81 to 87 from 2011 to 2012, a 7.4 percent increase.



Figure 12: Summary of RAS Use (Million Tons)



Figure 13: Summary of Estimated RAS Use by Sector (Million Tons)

Figure 14 shows states where plant-mix producers reported using RAS in 2009 through 2012. Red indicates states where RAS use was not reported for these years. The number of states where plant-mix producers reported using RAS increased each year from 22 to 32 from 2010 to 2012. Two states — Vermont and Nebraska — reported their first use of RAS in 2012. Five states — Florida, Massachusetts, Nevada, South Carolina, and West Virginia — reported using RAS in previous years, but did not report its use in 2012. Table 6 shows the states where producers reported using RAS for 2009–2011.



Figure 14: States with Companies/Branches Reporting Using RAS

| Table 6: State Reporting | | RAS l | Jsed? | | State | | RAS I | Jsed? | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|------|----------------|------|-------|-------|------|
| State | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Alabama | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Montana | No | No | No | No |
| Alaska | No | No | No | No | Nebraska | NR | NR | No | Yes |
| Arizona | No | No | No | No | Nevada | No | Yes | No | No |
| Arkansas | No | No | Yes | Yes | New Hampshire | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| California | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | New Jersey | No | No | No | No |
| Colorado | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | New Mexico | NR | NR | No | NR |
| Connecticut | No | No | No | No | New York | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Delaware | Yes | Yes | NR | Yes | North Carolina | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Dist. of Columbia | NR | NR | NR | NR | North Dakota | NR | NR | No | NR |
| Florida | Yes | Yes | No | No | Ohio | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Georgia | No | No | Yes | Yes | Oklahoma | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Hawaii | No | No | No | No | Oregon | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Idaho | No | No | No | No | Pennsylvania | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Illinois | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Puerto Rico | No | No | No | No |
| Indiana | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Rhode Island | No | No | No | No |
| Iowa | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | South Carolina | No | No | Yes | No |
| Kansas | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | South Dakota | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Kentucky | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Tennessee | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Louisiana | No | No | No | No | Texas | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Maine | No | No | Yes | Yes | Utah | No | No | No | No |
| Maryland | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Vermont | No | No | No | Yes |
| Massachusetts | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Virginia | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Michigan | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Washington | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Minnesota | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | West Virginia | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Mississippi | No | No | Yes | Yes | Wisconsin | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Missouri | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Wyoming | No | No | No | No |
| NR | = No Contractors Reporting | | | | | | | | |

Table 6: State Reporting RAS Use

Missouri Yes NR = No C Yes = RAS No = No R

No Contractors Report

= RAS Use Reported

= No RAS Use Reported

Warm-Mix Asphalt

Table 4 includes the summary WMA data from the survey. The survey asked producers their estimated percentages of tons produced for the different sectors and the percent of which technologies were used.

WMA saw modest increase from 2011 to 2012. Figure 15 shows a slowing increase in the percent of companies/branches using WMA from 2009 to 2012. Figure 16 shows a steady increase in the number of tons of WMA. This is probably attributable to increased acceptance of WMA by all industry sectors.



Figure 15: Number of Companies/Branches Using WMA





Figures 17–20 show the estimated total tons of WMA produced in each state grouped by region. Figure 21 shows WMA production as a percentage of the total asphalt mix production for 2011. It should be noted that the accuracy of data for individual states will vary depending on the number of responses received from each state and the total number of tons represented by the responses.

From 2011 to 2012, 21 states had an increase of 5 percent or greater in WMA production, while eight states had a decrease of 5 percent or greater in WMA production. Six states — Alabama, Tennessee, Alaska, Maryland, Kansas, and Illinois — had an increase of 20 percent or greater in WMA production. Three states — Oklahoma, Louisiana, and Washington — had a decrease of 20 percent or greater in WMA production. The reasons for these fluctuations are uncertain.

Nationally, the total tons of WMA increased from 68.7 million tons in 2011 to 86.7 million tons in 2012, a 26 percent increase. Plant foaming is used most often to produce WMA. Use of WMA additives increased from about 5 percent in 2011 to about 12 percent in 2012. This is still below the 17 percent market share noted in 2009, but given that WMA production has increased by more than 416% since 2009 the volume of additives uses, along with plant foaming, has increased significantly.



Figure 17: Estimated Total WMA Tons for Southeastern Asphalt User/Producer Group States



Figure 18: Estimated Total WMA Tons for North East Asphalt User/Producer Group States



Figure 19: Estimated Total WMA Tons for North Central Asphalt User/Producer Group States



Figure 20: Estimated Total WMA Tons for Rocky Mountain Asphalt User/Producer Group and Pacific Coast Conference on Asphalt Specification States



Figure 21: 2012 WMA Production as a Percentage of Total Asphalt Mix Production.

Other Recycled Materials

For the 2012 survey a series of questions was asked about the use of other recycled materials used in asphalt mixtures. Table 1 summarizes the questions in this section. The full questionnaire is included as Appendix A.

Producers were asked how many tons of mix were produced that incorporated recycled materials, as well as how many tons of the product were used in mix production during 2012. Three recycled materials — ground tire rubber (GTR), steel slag, and blast furnace slag — were specifically mentioned in the survey. The respondents were able to specify up to two additional recycled materials used in mixes. Because it was expected that responses to these other recycled materials would be low and that producers may not be tracking these materials, it was agreed to not attempt estimating the total quantities for these materials. Therefore, all values in this section are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state or nationally. A total of 40 contractors from 23 states reported using other recycled materials in asphalt mixtures.

Table 7 summarizes information on the use of ground tire rubber. Producers from nine states reported the use of GTR in some mixes. It must be noted that states such as Arizona and California, which are known to use large quantities of GTR in mixes, had a relatively low participation rate in the survey, while Georgia had a very high participation rate. This likely explains why Georgia's reported quantity of GTR is higher than Arizona and California. Also, one California contractor that is known to produce mixes with GTR did not include rubber in its response. Follow ups with this contractor were unsuccessful.

Table 7: Reported Tons Ground Tire Rubber

| State | Reported Tons of | Reported Tons of | |
|------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| State | Mix Using GTR | GTR Used | |
| Arizona | 33,590 | 532 | |
| California | 101,000 | 7,983 | |
| Florida | 86,441 | 195 | |
| Georgia | 281,958 | 13,628 | |
| Louisiana | 25,000 | — | |
| Michigan | 2,400 | 20 | |
| Missouri | 100,000 | 300 | |
| Ohio | 36,200 | 1,170 | |
| Texas | 25,000 | 2,500 | |
| Total | 691,589 | 26,328 | |

Table 8 summarizes the reported use of steel slag and blast furnace slag in asphalt mixes. 13 states reported using these recycled materials.

Table 8: Reported Tons for Steel and Blast Furnace Slag

| Stata | Reported Tons of Mix | Reported Tons of | Reported Tons of Mix Using | Reported Tons of |
|---------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| State | Using Steel Slag | | Blast Furnace Slag | Blast Furnace Slag Use |
| Alabama | 625,000 | 133,441 | 100,000 | 10,100 |
| Arkansas | 120,000 | 12,000 | _ | — |
| Illinois | 23,000 | 8,000 | — | — |
| Indiana | 70,000 | 44,000 | 1,487,000 | 304,000 |
| lowa | 20,000 | — | — | — |
| Kentucky | 5,714 | 800 | — | — |
| Michigan | — | — | 500,000 | 50,000 |
| Minnesota | 145,500 | 21,800 | — | — |
| Ohio | 150,000 | 42,030 | 208,028 | 72,400 |
| Tennessee | 30,000 | 6,000 | — | — |
| Virginia | — | — | 54,520 | 16,356 |
| Washington | 450,000 | 80,000 | _ | — |
| West Virginia | — | — | 588,120 | 180,308 |
| Total | 1,639,214 | 348,071 | 2,937,668 | 633,164 |

Table 9 summarizes other recycled materials used in asphalt mixtures. These other recycled materials include fly ash, cellulose fiber, casting sand, bottom ash, and recycled glass. Two states — Mississippi and Texas — reported using fly ash. Cellulose fiber use was reported only by Mississippi, but it is expected that use of this material is much more widespread as it is commonly used in stone-matrix asphalt (SMA) and open-graded friction courses (OGFC), which are used in many states.

| State & Description Other Recycled Material | Reported Tons of Mix Produced Using Other Recycled Material | Reported Tons Other Recycled Material Used |
|--|--|---|
| Mississippi | | |
| Fly Ash | 50,000 | 2,400 |
| Cellulose Fiber | 76,000 | 250 |
| Missouri | | |
| Casting Sand From a Local Fabrication Facility | 5,000 | 500 |
| South Dakota | | |
| Bottom Ash | 52,000 | 4,280 |
| Texas | | |
| Fly Ash | 18,000 | 1,200 |
| Virginia | | |
| Recycled Glass | 173 | 34 |

Summary and Conclusions

The objective of this survey was to quantify the use of recycled materials and WMA produced by the asphalt pavement industry. Asphalt mix producers from 48 states and Puerto Rico completed the 2012 survey. A total of 213 companies/branches with 1,141 plants were represented in the 2012 survey.

The estimated total asphalt mix production saw a slight decrease from 366 million to 360 million tons from 2011 to 2012.

The use of recycled material continues to increase. The survey shows:

- The percent of producers reporting using RAP increased from 96 percent in 2009 and 2010 to 98 percent in 2012.
- The estimated amount of RAP used in asphalt mixtures has increased steadily from 2009 to 2011. The estimated tons of RAP used in asphalt mixes for 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012 are 56.1 million, 62.1 million, 66.7, and 68.3 million tons, respectively. This represents about a 22 percent increase in the amount of tons used from 2009 to 2012 and a greater than 2 percent increase in the amount of tons used between 2011 and 2012.
- The estimated average percent of RAP used has increased from 16.2 percent to 18.0 percent to 19.1 to 19.6 percent for 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively.
- 89 percent of the contractors/branches reported having excess RAP in 2011. For the first time in this survey's history, the estimated amount of RAP used for all purposes in 2012, including landfilling, exceeded the amount accepted by 1.2 million tons.
- Use of both manufacturers' scrap and post-consumer recycled asphalt shingles increased from 1.2 million tons used in 2011 to 1.9 million tons used in 2102, an 58 percent increase.
- The amount of RAS accepted by asphalt mix producers decreased by more than 31 percent from 2011 to 2012, and 73 percent of the contractors/branches reported having excess RAS for 2012. In 2012, more than 224,000 tons of RAS was used for all purposes than was received.
- Of the RAS used in 2012, over 95 percent was used in asphalt mixes. The remainder was primarily combined with aggregates. No RAS was landfilled.
- The number of states with reported RAS use increased slightly from 31 to 32 in 2012.
- Nine states reported using ground tire rubber (GTR) in asphalt mixtures.
- 13 states reported using steel or blast furnace slags.
- Two states reported using fly ash in asphalt mixtures.
- Less commonly reported recycled materials included glass, foundry sand, bottom ash, and cellulose fiber.

The use of WMA continues to increase steadily. The survey shows:

- The estimated total production of WMA for 2011 was 86.7 million tons. This was a greater than a 26 percent increase over 2011 WMA (68.7 million tons) and more than 416 percent increase over 2009.
- WMA was about 24 percent of the total asphalt mixture market in 2012.
- Plant foaming, representing more than 88 percent of the market, is the most commonly used technology; additives accounted for less than 12 percent of the market.

The 2012 survey results show that the asphalt pavement industry continues to improve its outstanding record of sustainable practices by further increasing the use of recycled materials and WMA. RAP use continues to increase, albeit at a slower rate. For the first time in the survey, more RAP was used than accepted. This is primarily due to a decrease in the amount of RAP collected. The reason for this decrease is uncertain, but it may be partially be due to reduced construction as indicated by the reduction in total production volume. With more than 89 percent of producers indicating they have excess RAP, there are still opportunities to increase the amount of RAP used in asphalt mixes through permissive specifications and through improved RAP processing, production equipment and procedures, and education.

RAS use saw a large increase in 2012 to nearly 1.9 million tons used in asphalt mixes. This represents nearly 17 percent of the estimated 11 million ton waste shingle market (manufacturer and post-consumer waste). This indicates that there are still opportunities for increasing the use of RAS in asphalt mixtures, especially in the 17 states and territories where no RAS use was reported for 2012. As with RAP, permissive specifications, improved processing, production equipment and procedures, and education will help.

The asphalt pavement industry recycles many products from other industries. The survey shows that steel and blast furnace slag is used in 13 states, GTR is used in 9 states, and fly ash in two states. Other less common materials include glass, foundry sand, bottom ash, and cellulose fiber. Cellulose fiber is probably underrepresented in the survey results as it is a common material used in SMA and OGFC.

WMA again saw an increase of about 26 percent from 2011 to 2012. All reporting states, with the exception of Hawaii, reported using WMA in 2011. WMA production now represents about 24 percent of total estimated asphalt mix production in the United States, and it is expected to grow as contractors and agencies gain experience and more states implement permissive specifications.

Bibliography

- Construction Innovation Forum (CIF). "2013 NOVA Award Winner Warm Mix Asphalt" (video), 2013. http://youtu.be/q47p1SAy4g4
- Copeland, A. *Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement in Asphalt Mixtures: State-of-the-Practice*. Washington, DC: Federal Highway Administration, 2011.
- Copeland, A., Jones, C., and Bukowski, J. Reclaiming Roads. *Public Roads*, Vol. 75, No. 5, March/April 2010. Retrieved September 20, 2011, from Federal Highway Administration: <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/publicroads/10mar/06.cfm</u>
- Hansen, K. and Newcomb, D. Asphalt Pavement Mix Production Survey: Information Series 138. Lanham, Md.: National Asphalt Pavement Association, 2011.
- Hansen, K. 2nd Asphalt Pavement Mix Production Survey: Information Series 138. Lanham, Md.: National Asphalt Pavement Association, 2013.
- Pappas, J. Recycling Materials Survey. Presented at RAP ETG May 10 Meeting, Irvine, Calif., 2011.
- Prowell, B., Hurley, G., and Frank, B. *Warm-Mix Asphalt: Best Practices, 3rd Edition: Quality Improvement Publication 125*. Lanham, Md.: National Asphalt Pavement Association, 2012.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



National Asphalt Pavement Association NAPA Building 5100 Forbes Blvd. Lanham, Maryland 20706-4407 www.AsphaltPavement.org napa@AsphaltPavement.org Tel: 301-731-4748 Toll Free: 1-888-468-6499 Fax: 301-731-4621

Publication Sales: http://store.AsphaltPavement.org napa-orders@abdintl.com Toll Free: 888-600-4474 Tel: 412-741-6314 Fax: 412-741-0609

3rd Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey IS-138



Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on

Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage: 2009–2012

> Appendix A Survey Forms

Purpose

The National Asphalt Pavement Association is working with the Federal Highway Administration to determine the amount of hot-mix asphalt (HMA), warm-mix asphalt (WMA), reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) and reclaimed asphalt shingles (RAS) being produced and used in each state. This survey will be used to collect this data.

It is important to the industry that you complete this survey so that we have accurate information regarding the use of RAP, RAS and Warm-Mix Asphalt and to identify areas needing assistance in implementation.

DATA FROM THIS SURVEY WILL BE CONFIDENTIAL AND WILL BE USED ONLY FOR THE PURPOSES OF DETERMINING THESE QUANTITIES. IT WILL NOT BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. DATA WILL BE REPORTED BY STATE ONLY. NO COMPANY-SPECIFIC INFORMATION WILL BE DISCLOSED OR USED IN ANY WAY.

Survey results will be shared with industry and government agencies and officials to help in the implementation of recycling and warm-mix technologies.

By completing this survey you will be eligible to receive a complimentary copy of the full report.

Your participation is greatly appreciated.

Contact Information

The following information will be used only to confirm that we do not get duplicate information from a company and to contact you if we have any questions regarding your answers.

Contact Kent Hansen, khansen@asphaltpavement.org, or Audrey Copeland, acopeland@asphaltpavement.org, or by phone at 888-468-6499 at NAPA if you have any questions.

*1. Company/Branch Name:



*2. Contact Person's Name & Address



*3. Contact Person's Email



*4. Contact Person's Phone Number

Please select the state for which you are providing the information.

If your branch operates in more than one state, please complete a separate questionnaire for each state. If a plant provides mix for more than one state, please divide the tonnage accordingly, using your best estimate if specific data is not available.

*5. Which state is the information provided for?

| 0 | Alabama | 0 | Kentucky | 0 | Ohio |
|---|----------------------|------------|----------------|---|-------------------|
| 0 | Alaska | 0 | Louisiana | 0 | Oklahoma |
| 0 | Arizona | 0 | Maine | 0 | Oregon |
| 0 | Arkansas | 0 | Maryland | 0 | Pennsylvania |
| 0 | California | 0 | Massachusetts | 0 | Puerto Rico |
| 0 | Colorado | 0 | Michigan | 0 | Rhode Island |
| 0 | Connecticut | lacksquare | Minnesota | 0 | South Carolina |
| 0 | Delaware | 0 | Mississippi | 0 | South Dakota |
| 0 | District of Columbia | 0 | Missouri | 0 | Tennessee |
| 0 | Florida | 0 | Montana | 0 | Texas |
| 0 | Georgia | 0 | Nebraska | 0 | US Virgin Islands |
| 0 | Guam | 0 | Nevada | 0 | Utah |
| 0 | Hawaii | 0 | New Hampshire | 0 | Vermont |
| 0 | Idaho | 0 | New Jersey | 0 | Virginia |
| 0 | Illinois | 0 | New Mexico | 0 | Washington |
| 0 | Indiana | 0 | New York | 0 | West Virginia |
| 0 | lowa | 0 | North Carolina | 0 | Wisconsin |
| 0 | Kansas | 0 | North Dakota | 0 | Wyoming |

*6. How many plants does this survey response cover?

Number of plants

Total Asphalt Tonnage for 2012

Please complete the following information for the total tonnage of all asphalt production in 2012.

| RAP, RAS, WMA Survey 2012 | | |
|--|--|--|
| st7. What was your total tonnage of asphalt mixes in 2012 for the following sectors? (Use best estimate if data is not available.) | | |
| State DOT | | |
| Other Agency (City, County, FAA, Military) | | |
| Commercial & Residential | | |
| RAP Supply and Use 2012 | | |
| Please complete the following information on the amount of RAP received and used for 2012. | | |
| $m{st}$ 8. Did you accept, process, or use RAP in the state during 2012? | | |
| O Yes | | |
| O No | | |
| RAP Supply and Use 2012 | | |
| Please complete the following information regarding the amount of RAP received and used for 2012. | | |
| st9. How many tons of removed asphalt pavement and asphalt millings were accepted/delivered to your facilities in the state in 2012? | | |
| | | |
| st 10. How many tons of RAP were used in 2012 for the following purposes? (Use best | | |

estimate if data not available.)

| Recycled Back into HMA/WMA Mixes: | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Aggregate Base: | |
| Cold Mix: | |
| Other: | |
| Landfilled: | |

 \star 11. What was the average RAP percentage used in asphalt mixes during 2012 for the following sectors? (Use best estimate if data not available.)

| State DOT | |
|--|--|
| Other Agency (City, County, FAA, Military) | |
| Commercial & Residential | |

| $m{st}$ 12. At the end of the year 2012 did you have excess RAP (processed or unprocessed) in | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| inventory? | | | |
| © Yes | | | |

C No

Reclaimed Asphalt Shingles (RAS) Supply and Use for 2012

Please complete the following information on the amount of waste shingles received (processed and unprocessed) and used for 2012.

*13. Did you accept waste shingles and/or process or use reclaimed asphalt shingles (RAS) in 2012?

O Yes

O No

Reclaimed Asphalt Shingles (RAS) Supply and Use for 2012

Please complete the following information regarding the amount of waste shingles received (processed and unprocessed) and used during 2012.

*14. How many tons of unprocessed shingles (manufacturers waste and tear-offs) were accepted/delivered to your facilities in the state in 2012?

Tons:

*****15. How many tons of reclaimed asphalt shingles (RAS) were used for the following purposes in 2012? (Use best estimate if data not available.)

Recycled into HMA/WMA Mixes:

Aggregate Base:

Cold Mix:

Other:

Landfilled:

*****16. What was average RAS percentage used in asphalt mixes in 2012 for the following sectors? (Use best estimate if data not available.)

State DOT

Other Agency (City, County, FAA, Military)

Commercial & Residential

*17. At the end of the year 2012 did you have any excess RAS? (Include processed and unprocessed shingles.)

O Yes

O No

Warm-Mix Asphalt Production for 2012

Warm-mix asphalt is the generic term for a variety of technologies that allow the producers of asphalt pavement material to lower the temperatures at which the material is mixed and placed on the road by 10 to 100 degrees F.

*18. Did any of your plants in this state use Warm-Mix Asphalt technologies in 2012?

- O Yes
- O No

Warm-Mix Asphalt Production for 2012

Warm-mix asphalt is the generic term for a variety of technologies that allow the producers of asphalt pavement material to lower the temperatures at which the material is mixed and placed on the road by 10 to 100 degrees F.

*19. What was average percent of mixes produced using warm-mix asphalt technologies in 2012 for the different sectors? (Use best estimate if data not available.)

State DOT

Other Agency (City, County, FAA, Military)

Commercial & Residential

*20. What percentage of the total warm-mix asphalt (WMA) for 2012 was produced using the following technologies? (Use best estimate if data not available.)

Other Recycled Materials

Please let us know if you used any other recycled in HMA/WMA mixes in 2012.

*****21. Did you use any other recycled materials (excluding RAP and RAS) in HMA/WMA mixes in 2012?

| 0 | Yes |
|----|-----|
| U. | res |

No

Other Recycled Material

*****22. What other recycled material (excluding RAP and RAS) did you use in your mixes in 2012?

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Ground Tire Rubber | С | 0 |
| Steel Slag | O | 0 |
| Blast Furnace Slag | O | 0 |
| Other 1* | O | 0 |
| Other 2* | O | 0 |
| Other 3* | O | 0 |
| * Please describe the other recycled materials used. | | |

*23. How many tons of HMA/WMA was produced using this product. (Use best estimate if data not available.)

| Ground Tire Rubber | |
|--------------------|--|
| Steel Slag | |
| Blast Furnace Slag | |
| Other 1 | |
| Other 2 | |
| Other 3 | |

24. How many tons of the recycled product was used in 2012? (Enter 0 if you do not have a reasonable estimate of this quantity)

| Ground Tire Rubber | |
|--------------------|--|
| | |
| Steel Slag | |
| | |
| Blast Furnace Slag | |
| | |
| Other 1 | |
| | |
| Other 2 | |
| | |
| Other 3 | |
| | |

۸.

Thank You

25. Would you like a complimentary copy of the final report?

C Yes

No
Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on

Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage: 2009–2012

Appendix B State-by-State Use of Recycled Materials, WMA and HMA

Alabama

Table B1 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Alabama. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 3 for 2009 & 2010, 5 for 2011, 4 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use





WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| | | Tana of Min Duadu and Hains Material | Tawa of Material Used |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
| Steel Slag | 3 | 625,000 | 133,441 |
| Blast Furnace Slag | 2 | 100,000 | 10,100 |

| HMA/W | MA | - |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 2,245,000 | 5,323,267 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 607,000 | 1,439,298 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 521,868 | 1,237,435 |
| Total Tonnage | 3,373,868 | 8,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | 0,000,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 715,000 | 1,695,383 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 748,722 | 1,775,344 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 22% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 32% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 100% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 111,500 | 264,385 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 107,936 | 255,934 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 3.4% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 1.2% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 3.7% | |
| Warm-Mix A | sphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 1,331,000 | 3,156,022 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 241,000 | 571,451 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 161,910 | 383,916 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 1,733,910 | 4,111,389 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 33.2% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 66.8% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Alabama Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Alaska

Table B2 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Alaska. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 3 for 2009 & 2010, 2 for 2011 & 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use

No companies reported using RAS in 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012.





| HMA/WMA | | |
|---|----------|------------------------------|
| · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 193,000 | 2,987,399 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 20,000 | 309,575 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 140,000 | 2,167,025 |
| Total Tonnage | 353,000 | 5,464,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pavem | | 0,101,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 10,105 | 156,413 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 27,528 | 426,099 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 1,500 | 23,218 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 20% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 29% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 21% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Shing | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | 2012 |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix Aspha | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 164,410 | 2,544,862 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 11,440 | 177,077 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 16,170 | 250,291 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 192,020 | 2,972,230 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | , , , |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| | | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Arizona

Table B3 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Arizona. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009 & 2010, 4 for 2011 & 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use

No companies reported using RAS in 2009,2010 or 2011.

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ground Tire Rubber | 2 | 33,590 | 532 |

| HMA/WM | 1A | |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 703,230 | 5,117,713 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 46,688 | 339,769 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 287,535 | 2,092,518 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,037,453 | 7,550,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 128,070 | 932,021 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 147,635 | 1,074,406 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 17% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 13% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 15% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | hingles (RAS) | |
| · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix As | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 25% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 6,209 | 45,186 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | - | - |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 6,209 | 45,186 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Arizona Rock Products. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Arkansas

Table B4 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Arkansas.

Companies responding: 3 for 2009 & 2010, 2 for 2011, 5 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use



One company reported receiving RAS in 2010; however, no RAS was used in any mixes in 2009 & 2010.

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steel Slag | 1 | 120,000 | 12,000 |

| Como | | | |
|-------|-------|-----------|--|
| Compa | anies | Reporting | |

| Companies Reporting | | 5 |
|---|--------------|------------------------------|
| HMA/WM | Α | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 772,170 | 2,294,595 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 251,475 | 747,288 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 389,726 | 1,158,117 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,413,371 | 4,200,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pav | vement (RAP) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 80% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 83,755 | 248,888 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 143,121 | 425,301 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 11% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 26% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 21% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Sh | ingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 60% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 14,202 | 42,203 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 7,722 | 22,947 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 2% | |
| Warm-Mix As | phalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 80% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 278,248 | 826,847 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 79,440 | 236,065 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 272,242 | 809,001 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 629,930 | 1,871,913 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 16.5% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 83.5% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Arkansas Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

California

Table B5 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in California. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 6 for 2009 & 2010, 4 for 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use



WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ground Tire Rubber | 2 | 101,000 | 7,983 |

| Companies Reporting | | 4 |
|---|------------|------------------------------|
| HMA/WMA | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 950,000 | 5,260,412 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,430,000 | 7,918,304 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 1,683,370 | 9,321,284 |
| Total Tonnage | 4,063,370 | 22,500,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Paver | ment (RAP) | · · · |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 75% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 366,000 | 2,026,643 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 648,000 | 3,588,155 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 2,000 | 11,075 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | 3,400 | 18,827 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 13% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 14% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 19% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Shin | gles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 25% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 178 | 986 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 2,008 | 11,119 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 3% | |
| Warm-Mix Asph | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 75% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 347,480 | 1,924,093 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 410,364 | 2,272,297 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 757,844 | 4,196,390 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.3% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 99.7% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by California Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Colorado

Table B6 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Colorado. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 8 for 2009 & 2010, 7 for 2011, 5 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use





WMA Use



| HMA/WI | MA | 3 |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 608,221 | 2,675,409 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 591,460 | 2,601,682 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 278,013 | 1,222,908 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,477,694 | 6,500,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | - / / |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 495,000 | 2,177,379 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 427,925 | 1,882,333 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 60,000 | 263,925 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | 50,000 | 219,937 |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 18% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 20% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 21% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 40% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 329 | 1,447 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 10,329 | 45,435 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 1% | |
| Warm-Mix A | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 12,653 | 55,655 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 83,663 | 368,014 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 102,711 | 451,800 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 199,027 | 875,469 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 4.6% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 95.4% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Colorado Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

13

Connecticut

Table B7 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Connecticut. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage

Companies responding: 2 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011, 1 for 2012



RAP Use Connecticut RAP Use %0.00. %0.00 4 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAP Used 1% .0% %0 %0.0 %0.0 0.0% %0.0 %0. 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% %0 30 %0 Aggregate Cold Mix Cold Mix AMA Other Cold Mix AMH Aggregate Cold Mix Other andfill Other andfill AMH Aggregate Landfill HMA ggregate Other andfill 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

RAS Use

No contractors reported using RAS in 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012.



| Companies Report | ing |
|-------------------------|-----|
|-------------------------|-----|

| HMA/WMA | | 1 |
|--|------------|------------------------------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 800,000 | 1,737,242 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 400,000 | 868,621 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 642,000 | 1,394,137 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,842,000 | 4,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pave | | 1,000,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 400,000 | 868,621 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 393,585 | 854,691 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 18% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 22% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 25% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Shin | gles (RAS) | |
| · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix Asph | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 120,000 | 260,586 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 20,000 | 43,431 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | - | - |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 140,000 | 304,017 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| r er cente tit int i ono doning i lane i oanning | | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Connecticut Asphalt and Aggregate Producers Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Delaware

Table B8 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Delaware. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 1 for 2009 and 2010. No data reported for 2011, 3 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



Delaware RAS Use 100.0% %0.00. g No 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% **Contractors** Percent of RAS Used Responded in 2011 0.0% %0. %0. %0.0 %0.0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% %0.0 %0 %0.0 0% Cold Mix Cold Mix Landfill AMA Landfill AMH HMA Cold Mix Other Other AMA Other Other Landfill Aggregate Aggregate Cold Mix ggregate 2010 2012 2009 2011 Final Use

RAS Use



| HMA/WM | IA | |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 433,835 | 1,348,559 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 25,000 | 77,712 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 249,876 | 776,730 |
| Total Tonnage | 708,711 | 2,203,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | 2/203/000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 186,340 | 579,230 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 200,073 | 621,919 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 1,000 | 3,108 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 30% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 35% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 34% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Sl | hingles (RAS) | |
| · · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 67% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 20,000 | 62,169 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 10,500 | 32,639 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 4% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 4% | |
| Warm-Mix As | sphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 415,393 | 1,291,233 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 15,125 | 47,015 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 139,872 | 434,786 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 570,390 | 1,773,035 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 8.3% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 25.6% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 66.1% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage estimated based on relationship to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

District of Columbia

No contractors submitted data for the District of Columbia for 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012. Total tons were estimated based on a relationship of tons to federal apportionment. Because RAP use is prevalent in all areas of the US it was estimated that RAP use in the District followed a national average. Table B9 summarizes this data.

Table B9: Summary of District of Columbia Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/WMA | | |
|---|------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2011 | 2011 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | | |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | | |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | | |
| Total Tonnage | | 1,850,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Paver | nent (RAP) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2011 | 2011 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | | |
| RAP Tons Received | | 365,286 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | | 350,252 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | | 18,751 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | | 1,084 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | | 1,259 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | | 1,253 |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

2. Total estimated RAP tonnages based on national average for RAP since no tons reported for Washington, DC.

Florida

Table B10 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Florida. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 6 for 2009 & 2010, 4 for 2011, 5 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Florida RAS Use 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 54.0% 0%0 0.0% %0 %0.0 %0.0 0.0% %0.0 %O'C %0.0 %O.0 60 0% Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Other AMH Landfill AMH Other AMH Aggregate Aggregate Other HMA Cold Mix Other **vggregate** andfill 2010 2011 2012 2009

Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| | | , | , , |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
| Ground Tire Rubber | 1 | 86,441 | 195 |

| Companies Reporting | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| HMA/W | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 2,470,087 | 8,011,519 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 642,949 | 2,085,351 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 703,311 | 2,281,130 |
| Total Tonnage | 3,816,347 | 12,378,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt P | avement (RAP) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 1,369,900 | 4,443,155 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,027,990 | 3,334,199 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 330,182 | 1,070,918 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | 25,398 | 82,376 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 25% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 30% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 38% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix A | sphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 60% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 376,715 | 1,221,844 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | - | - |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 376,715 | 1,221,844 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 2.2% | , , |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 80.9% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 16.9% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Florida Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and

Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Georgia

Table B11 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Georgia. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents. Companies responding: 2 for 2009 & 2010, 6 for 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage,



RAP Use



RAS Use



No contractor reported using RAS in Georgia in 2009 and 2010.

WMA Use



No contractors reported using WMA in Georgia in 2009 and 2010.

Other Recycled Material

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ground Tire Rubber | 2 | 281,958 | 195 |

| HMA/ | WMA | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 3,663,768 | 3,767,643 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,678,514 | 1,726,103 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 2,437,156 | 2,506,254 |
| Total Tonnage | 7,779,438 | 8,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 1,957,798 | 2,013,305 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,820,749 | 1,872,371 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 22% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 24% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 17% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 369 | 379 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 33% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 36,395 | 37,427 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 2,401 | 2,469 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 38,796 | 39,896 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Georgia Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Hawaii

Table B12 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Hawaii. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 1 for 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use

No contractor reported accepting or using RAS in 2009 through 2012.

WMA Use



The contractor did not report using WMA in 2009 and 2010.

| Companies | Reporting |
|-----------|-----------|
|-----------|-----------|

| Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| | 2012 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 400,000 |
| , í | 666,667 |
| 25,000 | 133,333 |
| 225,000 | 1,200,000 |
| t (RAP) | |
| Reported | Total Estimated |
| 2012 | 2012 |
| 100% | |
| 38,000 | 202,667 |
| 32,250 | 172,000 |
| 5,000 | 26,667 |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| 20% | |
| 10% | |
| 20% | |
| (RAS) | |
| | Total Estimated |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2012 |
| | |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| 0% | |
| | |
| | |
| 070 | |
| Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 |
| | |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| 25 | 133 |
| | 133 |
| | 100 |
| | |
| | |
| 0.0% | |
| | 2012 75,000 125,000 225,000 225,000 t (RAP) Reported 2012 100% 38,000 32,250 5,000 - - 20% 5,000 - - 20% 10% 20% (RAS) Reported 2012 0% 5,000 - - - 20% 10% 20% 5,000 - - - 20% 10% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 2 |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Idaho

Table B13 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Idaho. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 5 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage





RAS Use

No contractors reported using RAS in 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012.



WMA Use

| HMA/V | WMA | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 541,301 | 2,396,604 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 96,297 | 426,354 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 151,111 | 669,042 |
| Total Tonnage | 788,709 | 3,492,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 571527000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 250,000 | 1,106,872 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 222,417 | 984,749 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 30% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 24% | |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | 2012 |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | _ | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | _ |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | _ |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 67% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 105,376 | 466,550 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 3,754 | 16,622 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 40,986 | 181,466 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 150,116 | 664,638 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| | | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Illinois

Table B14 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Illinois. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 16 for 2009 & 2010, 7 for 2011, 5 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Illinois RAS Use 100.0% %0 00.0 ē g 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used %0.0 %0.0 %0.0 %0.0 %0.0 %0.0 %U %0.0 0% HMA HMA HMA Other Landfill Other Landfill AMH Other Landfill Other Landfill Aggregate Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix **ggregate** ggregate 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steel Slag | 1 | 23,000 | 8,000 |

| HMA/ | WMA | 5 |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 427,982 | 4,969,665 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 418,773 | 4,862,731 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 315,850 | 3,667,604 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,162,605 | 13,500,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | 13,300,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 307,200 | 3,567,162 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 347,632 | 4,036,652 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 42,000 | 487,698 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 28% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 28% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 36% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 60% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 7,000 | 81,283 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 9,875 | 114,667 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 4% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 80% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 68,192 | 791,837 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 104,468 | 1,213,067 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 137,515 | 1,596,804 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 310,175 | 3,601,708 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 2.3% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 97.7% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Illinois Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Indiana

Table B15 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Indiana. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 3 for 2009 & 2010, 4 for 2011, 5 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Indiana RAS Use 100.0% 00.001 8 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 0% .0% .0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% %0 %0.0 %0. %0 0% Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill AMA Other AMH Other Landfill AMH Aggregate Aggregate **Aggregate** 2010 2011 2012 2009 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steel Slag | 2 | 70,000 | 44,000 |
| Blast Furnace Slag | 3 | 1,487,000 | 304,000 |

| HMA/WMA | | 3 |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 2,669,515 | 6,010,125 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 813,754 | 1,832,079 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 958,427 | 2,157,795 |
| Total Tonnage | 4,441,696 | 10,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pavem | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 1,100,000 | 2,476,531 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,013,352 | 2,281,453 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 25,000 | 56,285 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | 10,000 | 22,514 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 30% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 31% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Shing | les (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 80% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 29,500 | 66,416 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 35,000 | 78,799 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 3% | |
| Warm-Mix Aspha | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 80% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 1,305,000 | 2,938,067 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 526,000 | 1,184,232 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 477,000 | 1,073,914 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 2,308,000 | 5,196,213 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Indiana Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Iowa

Table B16 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Iowa. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 7 for 2009 & 2010, 6 for 2011, 10 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use



WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steel Slag | 1 | 20,000 | Not Reported |

Table B15: Summary of Iowa Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/ | WMA | 10 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,541,000 | 2,347,036 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,002,000 | 1,526,107 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 254,000 | 386,857 |
| Total Tonnage | 2,797,000 | 4,260,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | | .,, |
| · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 422,920 | 644,133 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 433,259 | 659,880 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 25,000 | 38,077 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 17% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 18% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 19% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 70% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 10,200 | 15,535 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 13,303 | 20,261 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 1% | |
| Warm-Mix | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 40% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 232,750 | 354,492 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 113,660 | 173,111 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 8,630 | 13,144 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 355,040 | 540,747 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.4% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 99.6% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Iowa Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Kansas

Table B17 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Kansas. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 6 for 2009 & 2010, 4 for 2011, 3 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use



WMA Use



Table B16: Summary of Kansas Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting | WMA | 3 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| , | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 815,668 | 2,367,613 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 593,000 | 1,721,282 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 141,630 | 411,105 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,550,298 | 4,500,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | | 1,000,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | LUIL |
| RAP Tons Received | 370,000 | 1,073,987 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 310,579 | 901,508 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 12,000 | 34,832 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | 2,000 | 5,805 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | 24,000 | 69,664 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 25% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 29% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 25% | |
| Reclaimed Asphal | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 67% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 10,000 | 29,027 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 3,252 | 9,439 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 689,518 | 2,001,442 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 416,250 | 1,208,235 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 72,250 | 209,718 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 1,178,018 | 3,419,395 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 23.9% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 76.1% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Kansas Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Kentucky

Table B18 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Kentucky. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 3 for 2009 & 2010, 4 for 2011, 5 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Kentucky RAS Use 100.0% 00.001 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAP Used 0.0% .0% .0% 0.0% 0% %0 %0.0 %O'C %0 0% Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill Other AMH Other Landfill AMH Aggregate Aggregate AMA **Aggregate vggregate** 2010 2012 2009 2011 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steel Slag | 1 | 5,714 | 800 |

Table B17: Summary of Kentucky Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/ | /WMA | U |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,878,387 | 5,835,433 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 662,032 | 2,056,681 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 356,621 | 1,107,886 |
| Total Tonnage | 2,897,040 | 9,000,000 |
| | t Pavement (RAP) | 5,000,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 281,480 | 874,451 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 300,724 | 934,235 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 39,803 | 123,653 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | | -, |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 34% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 11% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 8% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 60% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 46,164 | 143,414 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 46,164 | 143,414 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 1% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 80% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 544,077 | 1,690,241 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 250,970 | 779,669 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 105,046 | 326,339 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 900,094 | 2,796,249 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Plantmix Asphalt Industry of Kentucky. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.
Louisiana

Table B19 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Louisiana. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use

No contractors reported using RAS in 2009, 2010, 2011 or 2012.



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , , |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
| Ground Tire Rubber | 1 | 25,000 | Not Reported |

Table B18: Summary of Louisiana Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/ | WMA | ζ |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2011 | 2011 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 470,000 | 4,359,191 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 73,000 | 677,066 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 50,000 | 463,744 |
| Total Tonnage | 593,000 | 5,500,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 5,500,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 125,000 | 1,159,359 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 110,000 | 1,020,236 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | _ | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | _ |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 18% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 20% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 20% | |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 50% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 50,000 | 463,744 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 12,000 | 111,298 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 8,000 | 74,199 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 70,000 | 649,241 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Louisiana Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Maine

Table B20 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Maine. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use Maine RAS Use 100.0% 8 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Percent of RAS Used 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% .0% .0% %0 %0. %0 %0.0 %O'C 60.0 60% 60% Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix .andfill AMH Other Landfill AMA Other AMH Other Landfill AMH Aggregate Other Aggregate **Aggregate** 2010 2011 2012 2009 Final Use

No contractors reported using RAS in 2009 and 2010.



WMA Use



Table B19: Summary of Maine Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/WM | A | Ζ |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 941,955 | 1,221,909 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 587,969 | 762,717 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 289,371 | 375,374 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,819,295 | 2,360,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 243,094 | 315,343 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 267,032 | 346,395 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 370 | 480 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 15% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 15% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 17% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | hingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 100% | - |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 23,399 | 30,353 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 5% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 4% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 3% | |
| Warm-Mix As | sphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 173,756 | 225,397 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 35,506 | 46,059 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 26,123 | 33,887 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 235,385 | 305,343 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 37.2% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 62.8% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Maine Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Maryland

Table B21 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Maryland. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 4 for 2009, 2010 & 2011, 7 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Maryland RAS Use 100.0% 100.0% 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 0.0% %0.0 0.0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% %0.0 0.0% %0 0.0% 80 %0.0 %0.0 0.0% 0% Landfill Landfill Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other AMA Other AMH Other AMH Aggregate Aggregate **sgregate** 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



Table B20: Summary of Maryland Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/ | WMA | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,702,450 | 2,762,923 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,071,750 | 1,739,354 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 1,232,800 | 2,000,723 |
| Total Tonnage | 4,007,000 | 6,503,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | , , |
| Ē | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 1,710,000 | 2,775,176 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 872,000 | 1,415,177 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 320,000 | 519,331 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | 5,000 | 8,115 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | 57,000 | 92,506 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 21% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 27% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | lt Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 29% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 20,000 | 32,458 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 16,000 | 25,967 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 1% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 861,035 | 1,397,382 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 417,025 | 676,794 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 694,340 | 1,126,851 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 1,972,400 | 3,201,028 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 8.5% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 91.5% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Maryland Asphalt Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Massachusetts

Table B22 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Massachusetts. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009, 2010 & 2011, 3 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Massachusetts RAS Use 100.0% 94.7% %9 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Percent of RAS Used HMA 5.8% %0°C 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% .0% 0% %O.0 60 HMA Cold Mix Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Landfill Aggregate AMH Other Aggregate Other Landfill AMA Other Landfill Aggregate Other **sgregate** 2010 2011 2012 2009 Final Use

WMA Use



Table B21: Summary of Massachusetts Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/ | WMA | 3 |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 914,427 | 3,352,302 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 542,689 | 1,989,505 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 179,539 | 658,192 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,636,655 | 6,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | 0,000,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 233,000 | 854,181 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 257,663 | 944,596 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | _ |
| RAP Tons used as Other | 2,400 | 8,798 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 16% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 13% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 14% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | 20,000 | 73,320 |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 110,592 | 405,432 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 570 | 2,090 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 21,631 | 79,300 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 132,793 | 486,821 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 55.6% | , |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 33.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 11.4% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Massachusetts Aggregate & Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Michigan

Table B23 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Michigan. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 8 for 2009 & 2010, 6 for 2011, 4 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Michigan RAS Use 100.0% 00.001 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used .0% .0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% %0 0.0% 0% %0 %0.0 %0 0% Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill AMA Other AMH Other Landfill AMH Aggregate Aggregate **sgregate** 2010 2012 2009 2011 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ground Tire Rubber | 1 | 2,400 | 20 |
| Blast Furnace Slag | 3 | 500,000 | 50,000 |

Table B22: Summary of Michigan Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/WM | 1A | |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| · · · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 2,358,516 | 4,568,296 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 909,530 | 1,761,702 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 1,894,746 | 3,670,003 |
| Total Tonnage | 5,162,792 | 10,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | , , |
| · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 1,938,068 | 3,753,915 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,731,009 | 3,352,854 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 30,000 | 58,108 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 28% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 38% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | hingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 25% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 16,311 | 31,593 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix As | sphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 340,011 | 658,580 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 69,113 | 133,867 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 188,473 | 365,060 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 597,597 | 1,157,507 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | · · · |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Asphalt Pavement Association of Michigan. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Minnesota

Table B23 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Minnesota. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009 & 2010, 6 for 2011, 4 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Minnesota RAS Use 100.0% 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Percent of RAS Used 0.0% 0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% %0 0.0% %0 %0 %O'C %0 ő. Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill Other Landfill AMH Other Landfill AMH **vggregate** Aggregate AMA **Aggregate** 2010 2011 2012 2009 Final Use

WMA Use



No contractors reported using WMA in 2009 or 2010.

Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steel Slag | 1 | 145,500 | 21,8000 |

Table B23: Summary of Minnesota Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/ | WMA | |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,650,000 | 4,532,967 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,740,000 | 4,780,220 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 1,342,000 | 3,686,813 |
| Total Tonnage | 4,732,000 | 13,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 915,000 | 2,513,736 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 943,678 | 2,592,522 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 25,000 | 68,681 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 20% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 21% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 22% | |
| Reclaimed Asphal | t Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 100% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 22,500 | 61,813 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 34,304 | 94,242 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 4% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 5% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 5% | |
| Warm-Mix | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 75% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 172,500 | 473,901 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 299,000 | 821,429 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 285,000 | 782,967 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 756,500 | 2,078,297 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 13.5% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 86.5% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Minnesota Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Mississippi

Table B25 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Mississippi. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 1 for 2009 & 2010, 4 for 2011, 3 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage





RAS Use



The contractor did not report any RAS use in 2009 or 2010.

WMA Use



The contractor did not report an WMA use in 2009 or 2010.

Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Fly Ash | 1 | 50,000 | 2,400 |
| Cellulose Fiber | 1 | 76,000 | 250 |

Table B24: Summary of Mississippi Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/ | WMA | 3 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,296,360 | 2,613,082 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 367,150 | 740,067 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 320,905 | 646,851 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,984,415 | 4,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | .,, |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| | 2011 | 2011 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 370,499 | 746,818 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 372,549 | 750,950 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 10,000 | 20,157 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | _ |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 18% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 15% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 22% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 33% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 1,600 | 3,225 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,455 | 2,933 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 4% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 934,654 | 1,883,990 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 184,450 | 371,797 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 202,405 | 407,989 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 1,321,509 | 2,663,776 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | · · · · |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Mississippi Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Missouri

Table B25 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Missouri. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 6 for 2009 & 2010, 7 for 2011, 5 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Missouri RAS Use 100.0% 00.001 S 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 1.2% 0.0% .0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% %0.0 %0.0 %0 %0 0% Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill Other AMH Other Landfill AMH Aggregate Aggregate AMA **Aggregate** 2010 2012 2009 2011 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ground Tire Rubber | 1 | 100,000 | 300 |
| Foundry Sand | 1 | 5,000 | 500 |

Table B25: Summary of Missouri Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/WMA | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,826,855 | 3,530,545 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 629,962 | 1,217,452 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 769,439 | 1,487,003 |
| Total Tonnage | 3,226,256 | 6,235,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | | , , |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| | 2011 | 2011 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 530,965 | 1,026,133 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 627,334 | 1,212,374 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 5,000 | 9,663 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | 2,500 | 4,831 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 18% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 26% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 22% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | Shingles (RAS) | |
| · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 100% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 66,911 | 129,311 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 82,336 | 159,121 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 2% | |
| Warm-Mix | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 729,191 | 1,409,221 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 111,472 | 215,428 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 88,415 | 170,869 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 929,078 | 1,795,518 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 7.7% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 92.3% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Missouri Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Montana

Table B27 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Montana. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009 and 2010, 4 for 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use

No contractors reported using RAS in 2009, 2010, 2011 or 2012.



Table B26: Summary of Montana Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/ | WMA | 4 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 340,098 | 3,225,351 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 65,520 | 621,365 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 100,941 | 957,283 |
| Total Tonnage | 506,559 | 4,804,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | ., |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 75% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 43,000 | 407,795 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 48,221 | 457,308 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 3,184 | 30,196 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 14% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 16% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 18% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 25% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 85 | 806 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 50% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 59,600 | 565,222 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 15,568 | 147,643 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 52,688 | 499,667 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 127,856 | 1,212,532 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Nebraska

No contractors submitted data for the Nebraska for 2009 and 2010. One contractor submitted data for 2011 and 2012. Total tons were estimated based on a relationship tons to federal apportionment. Table B28 summarizes this data.

No contractors responded in 2009 or 2010, 1 contractor responded in 2011 & 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use



No companies in Nebraska responded to the 2009 and 2010 survey. No RAS use was reported in 2011

RAP Use



WMA Use



No companies in Nebraska responded to the 2009 and 2010 survey. No WMA use was reported in 2011

Table B27: Summary of Nebraska Data for 2012

| Companies Reporting | | |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| HMA/WM | A | |
| · · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 235,000 | 2,008,918 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 45,000 | 384,686 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 120,768 | 1,032,396 |
| Total Tonnage | 400,768 | 3,426,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 113,000 | 965,990 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 90,136 | 770,535 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 25,000 | 213,715 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 30% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 25% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 20% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Sl | hingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 100% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 4,000 | 34,194 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 945 | 8,078 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix As | sphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 7,050 | 60,268 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | - | - |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 7,050 | 60,268 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Nevada

Table B29 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Nevada. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009, 2010 & 2011, 3 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Nevada RAS Use 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Percent of RAS Used 0.0% 0.0% %0.0 0.0% %0.0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% %0.0 .0% 0.0% %0.0 0.0% 60 Cold Mix Landfill Cold Mix Landfill Cold Mix AMH Cold Mix AMH Other AMH Other Landfill HMA Landfill Other Aggregate Aggregate Other **vggregate** ggregate 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



Table B28: Summary of Nevada Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/ | WMA | 3 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| • | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 967,482 | 2,564,420 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 355,322 | 941,821 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 255,322 | 676,760 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,578,126 | 4,183,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | | .,, |
| · | 2012 | 2012 |
| | 2011 | 2011 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 186,728 | 494,944 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 178,565 | 473,307 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 14% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 15% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 15% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 67% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 127,872 | 338,940 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 520 | 1,378 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 9,466 | 25,091 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 137,858 | 365,409 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 9.6% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 9.6% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 80.8% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

New Hampshire

Table B30 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in New Hampshire. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 1 for 2009, 2010 & 2011, 3 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage





No contractors reported using RAS in 2009 or 2010.



WMA Use



Table B29: Summary of New Hampshire Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/WMA | | 3 |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 494,215 | 932,062 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 382,792 | 721,925 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 209,451 | 395,013 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,086,458 | 2,049,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pavem | | 2,013,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2102 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 288,884 | 544,819 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 209,584 | 395,264 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 1,000 | 1,886 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 21% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 19% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 19% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Shing | es (RAS) | |
| · · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 33% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 4,300 | 8,110 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 2% | |
| Warm-Mix Aspha | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 67% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 235,477 | 444,096 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 31,953 | 60,261 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 14,922 | 28,142 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 282,351 | 532,499 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.1% | , |
| | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.070 | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 99.9% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

New Jersey

Table B31 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in New Jersey. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011, 2 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use

No contractors reporte using RAS in 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012.





Table B30: Summary of New Jersey Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting | /WMA | 2 |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 551,802 | 1,447,602 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,202,847 | 3,155,559 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 1,328,744 | 3,485,839 |
| Total Tonnage | 3,083,393 | 8,089,000 |
| | It Pavement (RAP) | 0,009,000 |
| Reclaimed Aspira | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | | |
| Deveent Companies using DAD | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 991,747 | 2,601,758 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 481,475 | 1,263,106 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix RAP Tons used as Other | | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 15% | _ |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 13% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 20% | |
| | alt Shingles (RAS) | |
| Kecialineu Aspira | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using DAC | 0% | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS RAS Tons Received | | |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | | |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | | |
| RAS Tons used as Other | | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | | |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| | ix Asphalt | |
| Warm-Pr | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 4,011 | 10,522 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 552,612 | 1,449,727 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | | - |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 556,623 | 1,460,249 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 99.3% | 1,100,210 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 0.7% | |
| | 0.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

New Mexico

No contractors submitted data for the New Mexico for 2009, 2010, and 2012. One contractor submitted data for 2011. Total tons were estimated based on a relationship tons to federal apportionment. Table B28 summarizes this data.

Companies responding: 0 for 2009 & 2010, 1 for 2011, 0 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use

No companies in New Mexico responded to the 2009, 2010 and 2012 survey. No RAS use was reported in 2011.



WMA Use New Mexico WMA Use 100.0% 90.0% 80.0% 70.0% Percent of Category Production 60.0% 60.0% 50.0% 40.0% 30.0% 20.0% 10.0% 0.0% 10.0% 5.1% %0.3 %0. %0.0 0% 0.0% %0°0 %0°C 0.0% 0.0% %0. 0.0% %0.0 0100 Residential & Commercial & Residential 6005 Residential DOT ommercial & Residential Total Agency DOT Total DOT Other Agency Total Total Other Agency DOT Other Agency Other 2011 Final Use

Table B31: Summary New Mexico Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/WMA | | | |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|--|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ | |
| | 2012 | 2012 | |
| Total DOT Tonnage | | | |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | | | |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | | | |
| Total Tonnage | | 4,444,000 | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Paveme | ent (RAP) | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated | |
| | 2012 | 2012 | |
| Percent Companies using RAP | | | |
| RAP Tons Received | | 877,477 | |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | | 841,363 | |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | | 45,042 | |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | | 2,605 | |
| RAP Tons used as Other | | 3,025 | |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | | 3,009 | |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | | | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | | | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | | | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

New York

Table B33 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in New York. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 13 for 2009 & 2010, 11 for 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use New York RAS Use 100.0% 00.001 S 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 0% 0.0% .0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% %0.0 0.0% %0 %0.0 80 %0.0 0% Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill AMA Other AMH Other AMH Aggregate Aggregate **sgregate**

2010

2011

Final Use

WMA Use

2009



65

%0.0

2012

Landfill

Table B32: Summary of New York Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/ | WMA | 11 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,871,928 | 4,521,204 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 2,080,332 | 5,024,555 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 2,051,220 | 4,954,242 |
| Total Tonnage | 6,003,480 | 14,500,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | |
| • | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 91% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 729,000 | 1,760,729 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 797,437 | 1,926,022 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 41,728 | 100,784 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | 1,500 | 3,623 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | 5 | 12 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 16% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 15% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 18% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 18% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 3,175 | 7,668 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 73% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 217,137 | 524,444 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 117,732 | 284,355 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 72,406 | 174,879 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 407,275 | 983,678 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 13.1% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 86.9% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the New York Construction Materials Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

North Carolina

Table B34 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in North Carolina. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 6 for 2009 & 2010, 5 for 2011, 6 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use North Carolina RAS Use %0.00 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used %0 %0.0 %0 0% Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Landfill Aggregate Other AMA Other Landfill AMA Other Landfill HMA Other Landfill AMH Aggregate ggregate ggregate 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



Table B33: Summary of North Carolina Data for 2012

| Companies Reporting HMA/W | мл | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Total Catingata d |
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 2,671,493 | 8,847,629 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 366,141 | 1,212,611 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 887,644 | 2,939,760 |
| Total Tonnage | 3,925,278 | 13,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt P | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 495,935 | 1,642,471 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 582,515 | 1,929,212 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 24% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 24% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 33% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 7,436 | 24,627 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 5,294 | 17,533 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - , |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | _ |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix A | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 83% | 2012 |
| | 284,560 | 942,425 |
| | 13,807 | 45,726 |
| | 10,007 | TJ,/20 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | | Q17 C17 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 245,365 | 812,617 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage Total WMA Tonnage | 245,365 543,732 | 812,617 1,800,768 |
| WMA DOT Tonnage WMA Other Agency Tonnage WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage Total WMA Tonnage Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives Percent WMA Tons using Additive Feaming | 245,365 543,732 32.6% | |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage Total WMA Tonnage Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 245,365 543,732 32.6% 0.0% | |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage Total WMA Tonnage Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 245,365 543,732 32.6% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Carolina Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

North Dakota

No contractors submitted data for the North Dakota for 2009, 2010 and 2012. Three contractors submitted data for 2011. Table B28 summarizes data for 2012.

Companies responding: 0 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011, 0 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use

No companies in North Dakota responded to the 2009, 2010, and 2011 surveys. No RAS use was reported in 2012.





Table B34: Summary of North Dakota Data for 2012

| Companies Reporting | | 0 |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------|
| н | MA/WMA | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | - | - |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | - | NA) |
| Total Tonnage | - | 3,029,000 |
| Reclaimed Asp | ohalt Pavement (RAP) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | NA | |
| RAP Tons Received | - | 598,082 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA |) | 573,467 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | 30,700 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | 1,775 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | 2,062 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | 2,051 |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Ohio

Table B36 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Ohio. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 5 for 2009, 2010 & 2011, 6 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Ohio RAS Use 100.0% 00.001 %0 00 S 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 0% .0% .0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% %0 0.0% %0 %0.0 %0. 0.0% 0% Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill AMA Other Landfill AMH Other Landfill AMH Aggregate Aggregate **Aggregate** 2010 2011 2012 2009 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| (| | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
| Ground Tire Rubber | 2 | 36,200 | 1,170 |
| Steel Slag | 2 | 150,000 | 42,030 |
| Blast Furnace Slag | 3 | 208,028 | 72,400 |

Table B35: Summary of Ohio Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA | /WMA | 0 |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 6,355,695 | 8,170,499 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 3,488,579 | 4,484,707 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 2,835,225 | 3,644,794 |
| Total Tonnage | 12,679,499 | 16,300,000 |
| | It Pavement (RAP) | _0,000,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 3,011,495 | 3,871,397 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 3,020,942 | 3,883,541 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 158,226 | 203,406 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | _ | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | _ | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 21% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 25% | |
| | alt Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 50% | 2012 |
| RAS Tons Received | 5,000 | 6,428 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 28,512 | 36,653 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | _ | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 4% | |
| | ix Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 4,372,239 | 5,620,687 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 1,993,274 | 2,562,434 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 1,397,441 | 1,796,466 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 7,762,954 | 9,979,586 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Flexible Pavements of Ohio. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.
Oklahoma

Table B37 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Oklahoma. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 4 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Oklahoma RAS Use 100.0% 00.001 8 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 0% 0.0% .0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% %0.0 0.0% %0 %0.0 80 %0.0 %0.0 0.0% 0% Landfill Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill AMA Other AMH Other AMH Aggregate Aggregate **Aggregate** 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



Table B36: Summary of Oklahoma Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting | /WMA | 3 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 670,000 | 3,991,751 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 95,000 | 565,995 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 326,000 | 1,942,255 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,091,000 | 6,500,000 |
| | t Pavement (RAP) | 6,000,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 75,000 | 446,838 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 134,588 | 801,853 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 5,000 | 29,789 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | _ |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | _ |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 15% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 14% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 20% | |
| | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| • | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 67% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 17,000 | 101,283 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 16,268 | 96,922 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | , _ |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 3% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 33% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 25,000 | 148,946 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 72,500 | 431,943 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 97,500 | 580,889 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Oklahoma Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Oregon

Table B38 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Oregon. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 6 for 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Oregon RAS Use 100.0% 100.0% 89 8 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 0.4% 0.0% 0%0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% %0 %0.0 80 %0.0 %0.0 0.0% 0% Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other AMA Other AMH Other AMH Aggregate Aggregate **Aggregate** 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



Table B37: Summary of Oregon Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/ | WMA | 0 |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 669,238 | 2,014,395 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 795,000 | 2,392,936 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 243,413 | 732,669 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,707,651 | 5,140,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | 5/110/000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | LUIL |
| RAP Tons Received | 470,000 | 1,414,692 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 417,660 | 1,257,149 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | _ | _ |
| RAP Tons used as Other | _ | _ |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | 5,000 | 15,050 |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 24% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 25% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 26% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 50% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 3,000 | 9,030 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 6,943 | 20,898 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 3% | |
| Warm-Mi | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 83% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 52,490 | 157,994 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 265,300 | 798,548 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 37,261 | 112,154 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 355,051 | 1,068,696 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Asphalt Pavement Association of Oregon. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Pennsylvania

Table B38 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Pennsylvania. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 17 for 2009 & 2010, 7 for 2011, 15 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



Pennsylvania RAS Use 100.0% 00.001 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% %0.0 0.0% %0 0.0% 80 %0.0 %0.0 0.0% 0% Landfill Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill AMA Other AMH Other AMH Aggregate Aggregate **Aggregate** 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



RAS Use

Table B38: Summary of Pennsylvania Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/ | WMA | 15 |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 3,851,772 | 6,765,507 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,441,312 | 2,531,616 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 3,738,118 | 6,565,877 |
| Total Tonnage | 9,031,202 | 15,863,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | 13/003/000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 1,134,743 | 1,993,138 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,404,717 | 2,467,338 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 11,396 | 20,017 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 16% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 15% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 19% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 27% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 43,042 | 75,602 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 55,800 | 98,011 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 1% | |
| | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 93% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 1,300,918 | 2,285,018 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 188,913 | 331,820 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 364,468 | 640,175 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 1,854,299 | 3,257,012 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 18.3% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 81.7% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Pennsylvania Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Puerto Rico

Table B40 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Puerto Rico. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 1 for 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



No contractors reported using RAP in Puerto Rico for 2009 and 2010.

RAS Use

No contractors reported using RAS in Puerto Rico for 2009, 2010, 2011 or 2012.

WMA Use



No contractors reported using WMA in Puerto Rico for 2009 and 2010.

Table B39: Summary of Puerto Rico Data for 2012

| Companies Reporting | | 1 |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| HMA/W | /MA | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 468,890 | 720,986 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 669,856 | 1,030,000 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 200,966 | 309,014 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,339,712 | 2,060,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt F | Pavement (RAP) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 13,350 | 20,528 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 267,000 | 410,551 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 5% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 5% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 5% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix | Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 46,889 | 72,099 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | - | - |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 46,889 | 72,099 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 100.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Asphalt Pavement Association of Puerto Rico. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Rhode Island

Table B41 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Rhode Island. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009, 2010 & 2011, 1 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use

No contractors reported using RAS in Rhode Isand for 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012.

WMA Use

No contractors reported using WMA in Rhode Isand for 2009, 2010, 2011, or 2012.

Table B40: Summary of Rhode Island Data for 2012

| Companies Reporting HMA/WM | ۸ | |
|---|--------------|------------------------------|
| | | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | Reported | |
| T | 20122 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 25,000 | 746,400 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 12,500 | 373,200 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 25,000 | 746,400 |
| Total Tonnage | 62,500 | 1,866,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Par | vement (RAP) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 3,974 | 118,648 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,050 | 31,349 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 4% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Sh | ingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | 2012 |
| RAS Tons Received | - | _ |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| | | |
| Warm-Mix As | | Total Cating at a d |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 0% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | - | - |
| Total WMA Tonnage | | - |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

South Carolina

Table B42 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in South Carolina. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 4 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011, 4 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage





No contractos reported using RAS in South Carolina for 2009 or 2010.

RAP Use



WMA Use



Table B41: Summary of South Carolina Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA | /WMA | 4 |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,201,842 | 3,816,564 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 226,313 | 718,679 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 193,588 | 614,757 |
| Total Tonnage | 1,621,743 | 5,150,000 |
| | It Pavement (RAP) | -,, |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 434,120 | 1,378,590 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 384,496 | 1,221,004 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 24% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 24% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 24% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | alt Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-M | ix Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 25% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 176,357 | 560,039 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 10,451 | 33,188 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 16,485 | 52,350 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 203,293 | 645,577 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by South Carolina Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

South Dakota

Table B43 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in South Dakota. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 1 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage





No contractors reported using RAS in South Dakota in 2009 or 2010.

RAP Use



WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Bottom Ash | 1 | 52,000 | 4,280 |

Table B42: Summary of South Dakota Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/ | WMA | |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 110,625 | 690,680 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 201,635 | 1,258,895 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 249,450 | 1,557,425 |
| Total Tonnage | 561,710 | 3,507,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | | - / |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 123,000 | 767,943 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 115,064 | 718,395 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 2,000 | 12,487 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | 6,000 | 37,461 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 14% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 18% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 20% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | t Shingles (RAS) | |
| • | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 67% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 4,400 | 27,471 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,349 | 8,422 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | 1,000 | 6,243 |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Miz | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 33% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 34,450 | 215,086 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 34,450 | 215,086 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Tennessee

Table B44 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Tennessee. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009 & 2010, 7 for 2011, 5 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use



No contractors reported using RAS in 2009 or 2010.



WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steel Slag | 1 | 30,000 | 6,000 |

Table B43: Summary of Tennessee Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting HMA/ | WMA | 5 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,585,046 | 4,541,129 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 471,962 | 1,352,163 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 735,330 | 2,106,708 |
| Total Tonnage | 2,792,338 | 8,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 672,500 | 1,926,701 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 560,606 | 1,606,126 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 20,000 | 57,300 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | _ |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | _ |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 20% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 22% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 40% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 1,500 | 4,297 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,534 | 4,395 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 1% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 1% | |
| Warm-Mi | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 80% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 596,523 | 1,709,028 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 160,000 | 458,397 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 502,780 | 1,440,456 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 1,259,303 | 3,607,881 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 1.1% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 98.9% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Tennessee Road Builders Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons..

Texas

Table B45 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Texas. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 7 for 2009 & 2010, 9 for 2011, 10 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Texas RAS Use 00.001 %0 98.3% 00 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used .0% .0% .0% 0.0% .7% 0.0% 0% %0 %0.0 0% 0% Landfill Landfill Cold Mix Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Other Other AMH Other Landfill AMA Other AMH AMH Aggregate Aggregate **sgregate** 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ground Tire Rubber | 1 | 25,000 | 2,500 |
| Fly Ash | 1 | 18,000 | 1,200 |

Table B44: Summary of Texas Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/ | WMA | 10 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 5,346,326 | 9,635,333 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,374,735 | 2,477,595 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 2,073,577 | 3,737,072 |
| Total Tonnage | 8,794,638 | 15,850,000 |
| | t Pavement (RAP) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | LOIL |
| RAP Tons Received | 1,196,220 | 2,155,869 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,368,511 | 2,466,378 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 5,000 | 9,011 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | 5,000 | 9,011 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | _ |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 20% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 17% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 23% | |
| | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 70% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 189,418 | 341,376 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 168,888 | 304,376 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | 3,000 | 5,407 |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 3% | |
| Warm-Mi | ix Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 60% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 954,262 | 1,719,804 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 59,400 | 107,053 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 55,523 | 100,065 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 1,069,185 | 1,926,922 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 10.3% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 12.1% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 77.7% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by Texas Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Utah

Table B46 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Utah. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 5 for 2009 & 2010, 6 for 2011 and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use

No contractors reported using RAS in 2009, 2010, 2011 or 2012.



Table B45: Summary of Utah Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/WM | ΙΑ | 0 |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| · · · · · · | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,536,364 | 1,922,530 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 671,469 | 840,243 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 978,325 | 1,224,227 |
| Total Tonnage | 3,186,158 | 3,987,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 662,375 | 828,863 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 590,784 | 739,278 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 20,000 | 25,027 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 23% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 24% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 31% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | hingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| Warm-Mix As | sphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 67% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 871,859 | 1,091,001 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 302,795 | 378,903 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 498,737 | 624,094 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 1,673,391 | 2,093,998 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Vermont

Table B47 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Vermont. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 1 for 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



Vermont RAS Use 100.0% 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Percent of RAS Used 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% %0 %0. %0 %0. %0 %0.0 %O'C 60.0 %O 60% Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix .andfill AMA HMA Other AMH Other Landfill AMH Other Other ggregate **\ggregate** Aggregate andfill 2010 2011 2012 2009 Final Use

No contractor reported using RAS in 2009, 2010 or 2011.

WMA Use







RAS Use

Table B46: Summary of Vermont Data for 2012

| Companies Reporting | | 1 |
|---|----------|------------------------------|
| HMA/WN | 1A | <u>1</u> |
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 370,620 | 1,059,373 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 230,143 | 657,836 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 142,665 | 407,791 |
| Total Tonnage | 743,428 | 2,125,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pa | | 2,123,000 |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | 2012 |
| RAP Tons Received | 231,791 | 662,547 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 174,274 | 498,141 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | 25,000 | 71,460 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 22% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 22% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 24% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt S | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 100% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 300 | 858 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 5% | |
| Warm-Mix As | sphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 111,186 | 317,812 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 57,536 | 164,459 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 35,666 | 101,948 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 204,388 | 584,219 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Virginia

Table B48 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Virginia. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 5 for 2009 & 2010, 7 for 2011, 8 for 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Virginia RAS Use 100.0% %0.00 č 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% .0% 0.0% 0.0% %O.0 %0.0 0.0% %0.0 %O'C %0 60% 60% 0% Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix andfill. AMH Other Landfill Other AMH Other Landfill HMA Aggregate Other Aggregate AMA **sgregate** 2010 2011 2012 2009 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| <u>``</u> | | 1 1 | , , |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
| Blast Furnace Slag | 1 | 54,521 | 16,358 |
| Recycled Glass | 1 | 173 | 34 |

Table B47: Summary of Virginia Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/W | /MA | |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| | | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 3,583,712 | 6,343,880 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 890,807 | 1,576,905 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 2,304,383 | 4,079,215 |
| Fotal Tonnage | 6,778,902 | 12,000,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt I | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 2,202,149 | 3,898,240 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,745,016 | 3,089,024 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 15,000 | 26,553 |
| AP Tons used in Cold Mix | 864 | 1,529 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | 7 | 12 |
| AP Tons Landfilled | 75 | 133 |
| werage % RAP in DOT Mixes | 27% | |
| verage % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 27% | |
| verage % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 31% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 38% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| AS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 12,665 | 22,420 |
| AS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 2% | |
| Warm-Mix | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 88% | LOIL |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 2,417,024 | 4,278,611 |
| What Dot Tollinge WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 607,305 | 1,075,050 |
| VMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 1,359,614 | 2,406,787 |
| Fotal WMA Tonnage | 4,383,943 | 7,760,448 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 2.7% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 97.0% | |
| | 57.070 | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Virginia Asphalt Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Washington

Table B49 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Washington. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 6 for 2009 & 2010, 4 for 2011 & 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAP Use



RAS Use Washington RAS Use 100.0% 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used 50.0% %0.0% 33.3% 0.0% 0.0% %0 %0 %0.0 %0. %0 ő. 0% Landfill Cold Mix Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Aggregate Cold Mix Other AMH Other Landfill Other AMH Other Landfill AMH AMA **sgregate \ggregate** Aggregate 2010 2011 2012 2009 Final Use

WMA Use



Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Steel Slag | 1 | 450,000 | 80,000 |

Table B48: Summary of Washington data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/ | WMA | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 767,991 | 1,080,415 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 1,279,614 | 1,800,170 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 937,879 | 1,319,415 |
| Total Tonnage | 2,985,485 | 4,200,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | | ., |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 515,276 | 724,893 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 434,498 | 611,255 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | 2,000 | 2,814 |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 21% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 19% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 20% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| · | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 50% | |
| RAS Tons Received | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 1,998 | 2,811 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | , _ |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 1% | |
| Warm-Mix | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 73,341 | 103,177 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 220,264 | 309,869 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 31,380 | 44,146 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 324,986 | 457,192 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Washington Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

West Virginia

Table B50 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in West Virginia. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 8 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011 & 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use West Virginia RAS Use %0.00 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% Percent of RAS Used .0% .0% 0.0% 300 0.0% %O.0 %O'C 0.0% %0.0 %O'C %0.0 0.0% 60.0 0.0% 0% Landfill Cold Mix Cold Mix Cold Mix Other AMH Aggregate andfill. AMH Landfill AMH Aggregate Other HMA Cold Mix Other Other andfill ggregate **vggregate** 2010 2012 2009 2011 Final Use

No companies reported using RAS in 2011



WMA Use



No contractor reported usin WMA in 2009 or 2010.

Other Recycled Material

(Tons are reported values and do not represent estimates of the total quantity of these materials by state)

| <u> </u> | l l | 1 7 | , , |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Material | No. Contractors Reporting | Tons of Mix Produced Using Material | Tons of Material Used |
| Blast Furnace Slag | 3 | 588,120 | 180,308 |

Table B49: Summary of West Virginia Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/WMA | 4 | |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 1,240,462 | 2,625,732 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 90,271 | 191,080 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 322,755 | 683,188 |
| Total Tonnage | | 3,500,000 |
| | 1,653,488 | 3,300,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Pav | | Total Estimated |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| Deveent Companies using DAD | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | | |
| RAP Tons Received | 254,506 | 538,722 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 190,679 | 403,617 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix RAP Tons used as Other | - 273 | - 578 |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | 2/3 | 5/6 |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | - 13% | - |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 3% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 7% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt Sh | | U |
| | | Total Estimated |
| | Reported | |
| Develop the Companying DAC | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 0% | |
| RAS Tons Received | | - |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | 0 |
| Warm-Mix Asp | | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 67% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 83,918 | 177,631 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | - | - |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | - | - |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 83,918 | 177,631 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | D D |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Asphalt Pavement Association of West Virginia. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

100

Wisconsin

Table B51 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Wisconsin. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 1 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011 & 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use



The contractor did not report any RAS use in 2009 or 2010.

RAP Use Wisconsin RAP Use 100.0% 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 75.0% Percent of RAP Used 3.8% 0.4% %6.1 0,0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Aggregate Cold Mix Cold Mix Landfill Cold Mix Landfill Other Landfill HMA Other Landfill AMA Other AMH Other HMA Cold Mix Aggregate ggregate ggregate 2009 2010 2011 2012 Final Use

WMA Use



The contractor did not report any WMA use in 2009 or 2010.

Table B50: Summary of Wisconsin Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| HMA/V | VMA | |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|
| | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Fotal DOT Tonnage | 2,189,934 | 5,808,780 |
| Fotal Other Agency Tonnage | 880,173 | 2,334,651 |
| Fotal Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 1,151,585 | 3,054,569 |
| Total Tonnage | 4,221,692 | 11,198,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | | ,, |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 100% | |
| RAP Tons Received | 694,000 | 1,840,829 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 585,242 | 1,552,349 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 18% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 19% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 25% | |
| Reclaimed Asphalt | Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 100% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 56,200 | 149,070 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | 55,200 | 146,418 |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 2% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 2% | |
| Warm-Mix | Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 33% | |
| VMA DOT Tonnage | 207,000 | 549,066 |
| VMA Other Agency Tonnage | 71,600 | 189,918 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 196,200 | 520,419 |
| Fotal WMA Tonnage | 474,800 | 1,259,403 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 75.0% | · · · |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 4.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 20.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 1.0% | |

1. Total tonnage provided by the Wisconsin Asphalt Pavement Association. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

Wyoming

Table B52 summarizes the results received from asphalt mix producers in Wyoming. The charts are used to summarize statistics calculated from information provided by the survey respondents.

Companies responding: 2 for 2009 & 2010, 3 for 2011 & 2012

Total Asphalt Mix Tonnage



RAS Use

No contractor reported using RAS in 2009. 2010, 2011 or 2012. One company reported receiving RAS in 2011 but did not report its use.





2009

Resi

Final Use

Table B51: Summary of Wyoming Data for 2012

Companies Reporting

| Companies Reporting | WMA | 3 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 1110/ | Reported | Total Estimated ¹ |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Total DOT Tonnage | 69,723 | 762,119 |
| Total Other Agency Tonnage | 127,593 | 1,394,677 |
| Total Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 106,325 | 1,162,204 |
| | 303,641 | |
| Total Tonnage | | 3,319,000 |
| Reclaimed Asphal | | Total Estimated |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| Deverent Communication DAD | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAP | 67% | 242,422 |
| RAP Tons Received | 20,053 | 219,193 |
| RAP Tons used in HMA/WMA | 5,076 | 55,484 |
| RAP Tons used as Aggregate | 16 | 175 |
| RAP Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAP Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAP Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAP in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAP in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAP in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 14% | |
| Reclaimed Aspha | It Shingles (RAS) | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using RAS | 33% | |
| RAS Tons Received | 1,500 | 16,396 |
| RAS Tons used in HMA/WMA | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Aggregate | - | - |
| RAS Tons used in Cold Mix | - | - |
| RAS Tons used as Other | - | - |
| RAS Tons Landfilled | - | - |
| Average % RAS in DOT Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Other Agency Mixes | 0% | |
| Average % RAS in Commercial & Residential Mixes | 0% | |
| | x Asphalt | |
| | Reported | Total Estimated |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Percent Companies using WMA | 100% | |
| WMA DOT Tonnage | 16,255 | 177,673 |
| WMA Other Agency Tonnage | 9,000 | 98,376 |
| WMA Commercial & Residential Tonnage | 25,694 | 280,850 |
| Total WMA Tonnage | 50,948 | 556,899 |
| Percent WMA Tons using Chemical Additives | 0.0% | / |
| Percent WMA Tons using Additive Foaming | 0.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Plant Foaming | 100.0% | |
| Percent WMA Tons using Organic Additive | 0.0% | |
| | 0.070 | |

1. Total tons estimated based on relationship of tons to Federal Apportionment. Total tonnage for DOT, Other Agency and Commercial & Residential ratios from reported tons.

104