

Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on

Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage 2018

> IS-138 Appendix A: Methodology & Survey Forms



Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage: 2018 Appendix A

Appendix A to the ninth edition of *Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage* (Williams et al., 2019) provides details on the methodology used to collect and analyze the 2018 construction season survey data and reproduces the primary survey instruments used to collect data from asphalt pavement mixture producers and State Asphalt Pavement Associations (SAPA). Producers were asked primarily to provide company-/plant-level data, while SAPAs were asked to provide industry-level data for their state.

Survey Methodology

To collect and analyze the data summarized in the main *Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage* report for the 2018 construction season survey, the following tasks were conducted:

- 1. Develop a survey instrument that enables an analysis of the quantities of recycled materials being used in asphalt mixtures, as well as the total amount of WMA produced nationally.
- 2. Conduct a voluntary survey of asphalt mix producers throughout the United States and follow up via telephone, email, and in-person requests for information in locations where responses were low.
- 3. Estimate the total asphalt mixture market in each state or territory by using data provided by SAPAs through the survey instrument and the U.S. Department of Transportation federal-aid highway apportionment to determine a weighting factor for each state and reconciling the total U.S. asphalt mix tonnage with national estimates.
- 4. Analyze and summarize the information nationally and in each state and to prepare a final report.

The survey was conducted using an online survey platform, SurveyMonkey®. Table A1 summarizes the questions asked in each section of the survey instrument. Sections 1 through 4 of the survey instrument remained consistent from the 2009 to 2014 construction seasons. Questions were added to or modified in Sections 2 through 4 for the 2015 to 2018 construction seasons to gather additional information about RAP and RAS stockpiling, fractionation, the use of softer binders and recycling agents, the acceptance of processed RAS, and the use of WMA technologies at HMA temperatures. In 2017, the Section 3 question about tons of unprocessed shingles accepted was modified to ask about the type of unprocessed shingles accepted. In 2018, the Section 4 questions about the use of WMA additives at HMA temperatures were modified to gather additional information. Section 5 was added in the 2012 construction season survey to collect information on the use of other recycled material in asphalt mixtures. Starting in 2015, the Section 5 question asking about specific recycled materials was modified to replace one user-provided response with cellulose fiber. A copy of the survey used to gather information for the 2018 construction season is provided in the Survey Instrument section of Appendix A.

Producers were notified of the survey through several forums and electronic media. Notice were placed in NAPA's e-newsletter, *ActionNews*, informing members of the survey and asking for their participation. SAPAs solicited participation by placing notices on their websites and in their newsletters. Announcements were made at NAPA meetings, as well as at several State Asphalt Pavement Association conferences. A press release was sent to construction industry trade media and was published in print and online. Notices of the survey and links were also shared through social media channels, primarily Twitter, Facebook, and LinkedIn. Follow up with producers and SAPAs was conducted via email, social media, and telephone.

Information **Recycled Materials** Average % Produced for Type of Survey Tons Unprocessed Tear-Off Other Recycled Materials DOT Tons With ≥10°F Tons RAP Accepted Respondent Shingles Accepted Used (Y/N) Reduction Type of Other Recycled **Tons Unprocessed** Average % Produced for Materials Used (GTR, Steel Tons Used in HMA/WMA Other Agency Tons With Slag, Blast Furnace Slag, Contact Information Manufacturers' Waste Mixes ≥10°F Reduction Cellulose Fiber, Up to Two Shingles Accepted User-Provided Responses) Average % Produced for Tons of HMA/WMA State Information Is Tons Used in Aggregate Tons Processed Commercial & Residential Produced Using Each Other Provided for Base Shingles Accepted Tons With ≥10°F reduction **Recycled Material** Number of Production Tons Used in Cold-Mix Tons Used in HMA/WMA Chemical Admixture % With Tons of Each Other ≥10°F Reduction Recycled Product Used Plants Asphalt Mixes Additive Foaming % With Tons Used in Aggregate DOT Tons Tons Used in Other Base ≥10°F Reduction Tons Used in Cold-Mix Production Plant Foaming % Tons Landfilled Other Agency Tons With ≥10°F Reduction Asphalt Commercial & Organic Additive % With Average % for DOT Mixtures Tons Used in Other Residential Tons ≥10°F Reduction Average % Produced for Average % for Other Agency Tons Landfilled DOT Tons at HMA Mixtures Temperatures Average % Produced for Average % for Commercial & Other Agency Tons at HMA Average % for DOT Mixtures **Residential Mixtures** Temperatures Average % Produced for Average % for Other Agency Commercial & Residential Excess RAP (Y/N) Mixtures **Tons at HMA Temperatures** Chemical Admixture % at Average % for Commercial & Tons of RAP Stockpiled **Residential Mixtures** HMA temperatures Percentage of Additive Foaming % at HMA Excess RAS (Y/N) **RAP** Fractionated temperatures Percentage of Plant Foaming % at HMA **RAP Mixtures Using Softer** Tons of RAS Stockpiled temperatures Asphalt Binder Percentage of What Sectors Allow What Organic Additive % at HMA RAP Mixtures Using Level of RAS temperatures **Recycling Agents** Percentage of **RAP Mixtures Using Softer** Asphalt Binder Percentage of **RAP Mixtures Using Recycling Agents**

Red indicates a question removed for 2018

Section 3: RAS

Section 4: WMA

Table A1: Survey Instrument Summary: Producer Questions, 2018

Section 2: RAP

Section 1: General

Yellow indicates a new question for 2018

Cyan indicates a question modified for 2018

Section 5: Other

Asphalt mixture producers then went to the SurveyMonkey website to complete the survey form. Because data was collected on a state-by-state basis, producers could complete the survey multiple times, providing information for operations in different states on each visit. Some producers submitted data through PDF versions of the survey instrument or through a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet developed by NAPA. After the initial data was gathered and analyzed, anomalies in individual producer records were identified and reconciled.

To collect industry-wide data from the SAPAs, the survey instrument included 10 questions focused on state-level information, as opposed to specific producer information. Table A2 summarizes these questions. In a handful of states without SAPAs, industry-wide data was provided by an Associated General Contractors (AGC) chapter or a similar knowledgeable source. In previous years, this data was collected via a separate survey; for 2018, a single survey instrument was used with the first question ("Are you an Asphalt Producer, State Asphalt Pavement Association, or Other") determining whether the respondent should answer the producer or SAPA survey questions. Respondents indicating "Other" were not surveyed.

Section 1: General Information	Section 2: Tonnage	Section 3: RAP	Sect	tion 4: RAS	Section 5: Other Requirements
Type of Survey Respondent	Estimate of Total Tons Produced in State (All Sectors	Do Producers in State Fractionate RAP (Y/N)	Level of R/	ors Allow What AS (DOT, Other ommercial & I)	Require, Allow, or Prohibit Use of Recycling Agents With RAP, RAS, RAP+RAS
Contact Information					What Limits the Use of RAP in Your State?
State Information Is Provided for					What Limits the Use of RAS in Your State?
					Do You Believe Increasing Utilization of Recycled Materials in Your State Is Possible? (Y/N)
					(If Yes) Two Ideas How to Increase Utilization.
Yellow indicates a new qu	lestion for 2018 Red	d indicates a question removed for	2018	Cyan indicates a c	uestion modified for 2018

Table A2: Survey Instrument Summary: S	SAPA Questions, 2018
--	----------------------

Appendix B and certain tables in this report provide survey responses and estimated values at the state/territory level. To keep specific producer data confidential, no state-specific information is provided in the tables or appendixes if fewer than three producers from the state/territory responded to the survey. Information from states/territories with fewer than three responding companies is included in the estimated national values, however.

Data Estimation Method

To determine the estimated total amount of RAP and RAS used and WMA produced nationwide and in each state/territory, the total amount of asphalt mix produced in each state/territory needed to be determined. Total tonnage of asphalt mix produced represents both commercial (i.e., private sector) and governmental (i.e., DOT and Other Agency) tonnages. Estimated tonnages for each sector were provided by SAPAs for 32 states, totaling more than 294 million tons.

To estimate the total tons in states where a SAPA estimate of total tonnage was not available, a power curve relationship based on an examination of the relationship between SAPA-estimated tons and FY2018 federal-aid highway apportionment (FHWA, 2019) for those states was determined, resulting in Equation A1. This is the same methodology used to estimate tonnage in previous versions of this survey, as detailed in Hansen & Newcomb (2011), with the formula updated annually as SAPA-reported estimates and federal apportionments for the states change.

Total Estimated Tons = $0.0035 \times (State Federal Apportionment)^{1.0608}$ [A1]

As shown in Figure A1, 40 states and territories, along with multiple counties and municipalities across the nation, have acted to raise and/or otherwise dedicate additional local funds to transportation since 2012 (T4America, n.d.; Davis, 2019; NCSL, 2019). These additional and/or dedicated funds are not accounted for in Equation A1, which can lead to underestimation of total tonnage in some states. Similarly, because federal funding for the U.S. territories is through the Territorial and Puerto Rico Highway Program instead of state apportionment, estimates for these jurisdictions were calculated using Equation A1 and Territorial and Puerto Rico Highway Program FY2018 funding levels (FHWA, 2017).



Figure A1: States Approving Measures to Increase and/or Dedicate Transportation Funding, 2012–2018

In addition, in some markets, asphalt pavement mixture may be produced in one state and placed in a neighboring state. Although producers are asked to report tonnage based upon the location where it is placed, it is possible that data about mixtures reported for one state may include data from mixtures placed in two or more states. This can lead to overreporting in one state and underreporting in another. For example, a producer in Washington, D.C., may have produced mixtures used in Virginia and Maryland too, but may have reported all tons produced as Washington, D.C., tons.

These caveats apply to the data reported in Appendix B and other state-level data included in this report; however, they have only minimal impact on the national values in the main report.

Survey Instrument

As outlined earlier, this appendix includes a copy of the survey instrument used to collect responses from participants. The majority of asphalt mixture producers participating in the survey used the online survey platform SurveyMonkey® to provide their responses. Some producers submitted their data through PDF forms or a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet developed by NAPA to collect the same information. The producer section of the survey instrument begins on page 7; the SAPA section begins on page 25.

References

- Davis, C. (2019). Most States Have Raised Gas Taxes in Recent Years. JustTaxes Blog. Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy, Washington, D.C. https://itep.org/most-states-haveraised-gas-taxes-in-recent-years-0419/ [Retrieved July 19, 2019]
- FHWA (2017). FAST Act Fact Sheet: Territorial and Puerto Rico Program [web page]. Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C.

https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/fastact/factsheets/territorialprhighw aysfs.cfm [Accessed 31 May 2019]

FHWA (2019). FAST ACT Funding Tables: Table 11, Part 4: Summary of Apportionments Authorized for Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 [web page]. Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C. https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/fastact/comptables2018/table11p1. cfm [Accessed 31 May 2019]

Hansen, K.R., & D.E. Newcomb (2011). Asphalt Pavement Mix Production Survey: Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement, Reclaimed Asphalt Shingles, Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage: 2009–2010 (IS 138). National Asphalt Pavement Association, Lanham, Maryland. NCSL (2019). Recent Legislative Actions Likely to Change Gas Taxes [web page]. National Conference of State Legislatures, Washington, D.C. http://www.ncsl.org/research/transportation/2013-and-2014legislative-actions-likely-to-change-gas-taxes.aspx [Accessed 31 May 2019]

T4America (n.d.). State Transportation Funding [web page]. Transportation for America, Washington, D.C. http://t4america.org/maps-tools/state-transportation-funding/ [Accessed 31 May 2019]

Williams, B.A., J.R. Willis, & T.C. Ross (2019). Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey on Recycled Materials and Warm-Mix Asphalt Usage: 2018, 9th Annual Survey (IS 138).
National Asphalt Pavement Association, Greenbelt, Maryland. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.22077.61920

2018 Construction Season Survey Instrument — Producer Section



Recycled Materials and WMA Survey 2018

Purpose

The National Asphalt Pavement Association is working with the Federal Highway Administration to determine the amount of hot-mix asphalt (HMA), warm-mix asphalt (WMA), and recycled materials being produced and used in each state. This survey will be used to collect this data.

It is important for the industry that you complete this survey so that we have accurate information regarding the use of recycled materials and WMA and to identify areas needing assistance in implementation.

DATA FROM THIS SURVEY WILL BE CONFIDENTIAL AND WILL BE USED ONLY FOR THE PURPOSES OF DETERMINING THESE QUANTITIES. IT WILL NOT BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. DATA WILL BE REPORTED BY STATE ONLY, AND NO STATE-SPECIFIC DATA WILL BE REPORTED WHEN FEWER THAN THREE COMPANIES/BRANCHES RESPOND WITHIN A STATE, NO COMPANY-SPECIFIC INFORMATION WILL BE DISCLOSED IN ANY WAY.

Survey results will be shared with industry, government agencies, and officials to help in the implementation of recycling and warm-mix technologies. The data collected from this survey provides insight into trends, current practice, and is utilized to highlight the sustainability of asphalt mixtures. These results are also used by FHWA, Energy Information Administration, Environmental Protection Agency, and other federal, state, and local agencies to determine the impact of recycled materials and WMA.

By completing this survey you will be eligible to receive a complimentary copy of the full report.

Your participation is greatly appreciated.

- * 1. Are you a...
 - Asphalt Producer
 - State Asphalt Pavement Association
 - Other



Industry Contact Information

It is recommended that you print a copy of the full survey —<u>download a PDF</u>— to make sure you have the necessary data at hand before beginning the online survey.

Companies with multi-state operations are encouraged to <u>download a spreadsheet</u> to report their data. Please return the completed spreadsheet to Brett Williams, NAPA Director of Engineering & Technical Support, at <u>bwilliams@asphaltpavement.org</u>.

The following information will be used only to confirm that we do not get duplicate information from a company and to contact you if we have any questions regarding your answers. Contact Brett Williams at <u>bwilliams@asphaltpavement.org</u> or NAPA by phone at 888-468-6499 if you have any questions.

* 2. Company/Branch Name:

* 3. Contact Person's Name & Address

* 4. Contact Person's Email

* 5. Contact Person's Phone Number



State

Please select the state for which you are providing the information.

If your branch operates in more than one state, please complete a separate questionnaire for each state. If a plant provides mix for more than one state, please divide the tonnage accordingly, using your best estimate if specific data is not available.

* 6. Which state is the information provided for?

🔵 Alabama	Kentucky	O Ohio
🔵 Alaska	🔘 Louisiana	O Oklahoma
🔵 American Samoa	O Maine	Oregon
) Arizona	Maryland	O Pennsylvania
Arkansas	Massachusetts	O Puerto Rico
California	O Michigan	C Rhode Island
Colorado	O Minnesota	South Carolina
Connecticut	Mississippi	South Dakota
Delaware	O Missouri	O Tennessee
District of Columbia	O Montana	O Texas
) Florida	🔘 Nebraska	US Virgin Islands
🔵 Georgia	🔘 Nevada	Utah
Guam	O New Hampshire	O Vermont
🔵 Hawaii	New Jersey	🔘 Virginia
) Idaho	O New Mexico	Washington
) Illinois	New York	🔘 West Virginia
Indiana	North Carolina	O Wisconsin
lowa	O North Dakota	Wyoming
Kansas	🔘 Northern Mariana Islands	

Number of plants	1	
and the second second		



Total Asphalt Tonnage for 2018

Please complete the following information for the total tonnage of all asphalt production in 2018.

* 8. What was your total tonnage of asphalt mixes in 2018 for the following sectors? (Use best estimate if data is not available.)

State DOT

Other Agency (City, County, FAA, Military, Toll Authorities)

Commercial & Residential

RAP Supply an	l Use 2018		
Please complete	the following information o	n the amount of RAP rec	eived and used for 2018.
* 9. Did you acc	ept, process, or use RAP in th	e state during 2018?	
O Yes			
O No			

	Recycled Materials and WMA Survey 2018
	AL ASPHALT ASSOCIATION
AP Supp	bly and Use 2018
lease cor)18.	nplete the following information regarding the amount of RAP received and used for
	many tons of reclaimed asphalt pavement and asphalt millings were accepted/delivered to your in the state in 2018?
Tons:	
Aggregate	
Cold Mixe	
Other:	
Landfilled	
	t was the average RAP percentage used in asphalt mixes during 2018 for the following sectors? st estimate if data not available.)
State DO	r
Other Age Authoritie:	ancy (City, County, FAA, Military, Toll s)
1	
	ial & Residential

() No		
CI NO		
	se estimate how many tons of RAP you had stockpiled at the end of 2018 available.)	3. (Use best estimate
	t percentage of the RAP processed is fractionated into two or more sizes available.)	? (Use best estimate
	t percent of mixes using RAP were produced using a softer grade of aspl if data not available.)	halt binder? (Use be
estimate		
1	7	
17. What not avail	t percent of mixes using RAP were produced using recycling agents? (Us able.)	se best estimate if d
	-	

Reclaimed Aspha	It Shingles (RAS) Supply and Use for 2018
	ne following information on the amount of waste shingles received (processed and used for 2018.
* 18. Did you acce	pt waste shingles and/or process or use reclaimed asphalt shingles (RAS) in 2018?
O Yes	
O ND	

	ne following information regarding the amount of waste shingles received aprocessed) and used during 2018.
	ns of shingles were accepted/delivered to your facilities in the state in 2018?
Unprocessed	······································
Tear-off Shingles:	
Unprocessed	
Manufacture rs'	
Waste Shing	
es:	
Shingles:	
	te if data not available.)
Recycled into HMAA	VMA Mixes:
Recycled into HMAA	VMA Mixes:
The second secon	WMA Mixes:
Recycled into HMAA	WMA Mixes:
Recycled into HMAA Aggregate Base: Cold Mix: Other:	WMA Mixes:

State DOT			
Other Agency (City, County, FAA	A, Military, Toll		
Authorities)			
Commercial & Residential			
	designed and	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
	2018 did you have any s	surplus RAS stockpiled? (Incl	ude processed and
unprocessed shingles.)			
O Yes			
O No			
* 23. Please estimate how r	many tons of RAS you ha	ad stockpiled at the end of 20	18. (Use best estimate
data not available.)			
	_		
24. Is RAS allowed in			
	ALL	SOME	NONE
DOT mixes	0	0	0
Other Agency mixes	0	Õ	Õ
	~	0	0
Commercial and			
Commercial and Residential mixes	Q	C.	0
Residential mixes	Q	~	
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes		ced using a softer grade of as	
Residential mixes		~	
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes		~	
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes estimate if data not availab	ble.)	ced using a softer grade of as	phalt binder? (Use bes
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes estimate if data not availal 26. What percent of mixes	ble.)	~	phalt binder? (Use bes
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes estimate if data not availab	ble.)	ced using a softer grade of as	phalt binder? (Use bes
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes estimate if data not availal 26. What percent of mixes	ble.)	ced using a softer grade of as	phalt binder? (Use bes
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes estimate if data not availal 26. What percent of mixes	ble.)	ced using a softer grade of as	phalt binder? (Use bes
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes estimate if data not availal 26. What percent of mixes	ble.)	ced using a softer grade of as	phalt binder? (Use bes
Residential mixes 25. What percent of mixes estimate if data not availal 26. What percent of mixes	ble.)	ced using a softer grade of as	phalt binder? (Use bes



Warm-Mix Asphalt Production for 2018

Warm-mix asphalt is the generic term for a variety of technologies that allow the producers of asphalt pavement material to lower the temperatures at which the material is mixed and placed on the road by at least 10°F. The survey will collect data for warm-mix technologies used at reduced temperature and at hot mix temperatures separately.

* 27. Did any of your plants in this state use warm-mix asphalt technologies in 2018?

0	Yes	
0	No	

NAPA
NATIONAL ASPHALT

Warm-Mix Asphalt Production for 2018

Warm-mix asphalt is the generic term for a variety of technologies that allow the producers of asphalt pavement material to lower the temperatures at which the material is mixed and placed on the road by at least 10°F.

* 28. What was average percent of mix tons produced using warm-mix asphalt technologies in 2018 for the different sectors? (Use best estimate if data not available.)

State DOT

Other Agency (City, County, FAA, Military, Toll Authorities)

Commercial	R	Residential

* 29. What percentage of the total warm-mix asphalt (WMA) for 2018 was produced using the following technologies? (Use best estimate if data not available, entries should total 100%)

Chemical Admixture		
Additive (Zeolite) Foaming		
Plant Foaming		
Organic (Wax) Additive		
Blend		
*Please specify the Blend:		1
30. What was average perc temperatures (i.e., without		or mixes produced at hot-mix
State DOT	 	
Other Agency (City, County, FAA, Military, Toll Authorities)		
Commercial & Residential		

* 31. What percentage of the total warm-mix asphalt (WMA) produced at hot mix temperatures (i.e., without
lowering temperatures by at least 10°F.) for 2018 was produced using the following technologies? (Use
best estimate if data not available, entries should total 100%)

Chemical Admixture	
Additive (Zeolite) Foaming	
Plant Foaming	
Organic (Wax) Additive	
Blend	
*Please specify the Blend;	-4

PAVEMENT ASSOC	
Other Recycled M	atenal for 2018
Please let us know	if you used any other recycled materials in HMA/WMA mixes in 2018.
(This includes ma	other recycled materials (excluding RAP and RAS) in your mixes in 2018? aterials added to the mix such as: ground tire rubber, blast furnace slag, steel slag rom ash, foundry sand, other coal combustion products, glass, cellulose fibers, et
O Yes	
O No	

Í	NAPA
	NATIONAL ASPHALT
b	PAVEMENT ASSOCIATIO

Other Recycled Material for 2018

* 33. What other recycled material (excluding RAP and RAS) did you use in your mixes in 2018?

	Yes	No
Ground Tire Rubber	0	0
Steel Slag	O.	0
Blast Furnace Slag	0	0
Recycled Cellulose Fibers	Ö	Q
Other 1*	O.	Ö
Other 2*	C	0
Please describe the other recycled	materials used.	

* 34. How many tons of HMA/WMA was produced using this product. (Use best estimate if d	ata not
available.)	

Ground Tire Rubber		
Steel Slag		
Blast Furnace Slag		
Recycled Cellulose Fibers		
Other 1		
Other 2		

Ground Tire Rubber		
Steel Slag		
Blast Fornace Slag		
Recycled Cellulose Fibers		
Other 1		
Other 2		- 1

Thank You		
36. Would you like	a complimentary copy of the final report?	
O Yes		
O No		

2018 Construction Season Survey Instrument — SAPA Section

SAPA Cor	ntact Information	
associatio the 2018 R and RAS v	y is intended to collect information from State Asphalt Pavement Associations on ns. Please answer the following questions by April 1, 2019, to assist NAPA in pro- ecycled Materials and WMA Survey. The additional information you provide us o will enhance the information we provide in the survey report. Contact Brett Willia Dasphaltpavement.org or NAPA by phone at 888-468-6499 if you have any question	eparing on RAP ams at
* 38. Asso	ociation Name:	
Contact		
* 39. Nar	ie	
* 40. Ema	JK	
* 41. Pho	ne Number	

42. Which state is the inform	C Kentucky	O Ohio
) Alaska		Oklahoma
	~	
🔵 American Samoa	O Maine	Oregon
Arizona	Maryland	O Pennsylvania
🔵 Arkansas	Massachusetts	O Puerto Rico
California	O Michigan	C Rhode Island
Colorado	O Minnesota	South Carolina
Connecticut	O Mississippi	South Dakota
🔵 Delaware	O Missouri	Tennessee
District of Columbia	O Montana	O Texas
) Florida	O Nebraska	US Virgin Islands
🔵 Georgia	O Nevada	O Utah
Guam	O New Hampshire	O Vermont
) Hawaii	O New Jersey	O Virginia
Idaho	O New Mexico	Washington
) Illinois	New York	🔘 West Virginia
🔵 Indiana	North Carolina	O Wisconsin
) Iowa	O North Dakota	O Wyoming
🔵 Kansas	O Northern Mariana Islands	
ncludes asphalt mixture ton		ure placed in your state in 2018? (This er Agencies, Commercial & Residential)

State Alabama		Villions	Reported %	-		Aillions	Reported %
Alabama	Estimated	Reported	of Estimated	State	Estimated	Reported	of Estimated
Alexander	7.0	4.9	70%	Montana	4.2	DE	
Alaska	5.1	*		Nebraska	2.8	0.5	18%
American Samoa	0.03			Nevada	3.4	1.3	38%
Arizona	6.5	1.2	18%	New Hampshire	3.0	2.5	83%
Arkansas California	6.0	1.9	32%	New Jersey New Mexico	10.2 3.0	4.0	39% 30%
	26.0		23%	a new status with the taken a second		0.9	44%
Colorado Connecticut	5.3 4.9	2.0	38% 57%	New York North Carolina	16.5 16.0	7.3 6.4	44%
Delaware	4.9	2.0	\$	North Dakota	2.7	1.2	40%
District of Columbia	1.5	*		Ohio	14.8	11.6	78%
Florida	16.5	4.6	28%	Oklahoma	4.8	2.4	50%
Georgia	14.6	2.2	15%	Oregon	5.4	1.4	26%
Hawaii	14.0	0.8	73%	Pennsylvania	19.8	7.7	39%
Idaho	2.8	1.7	61%	Puerto Rico	13.6	NCR	NCR
Illinois	13.0	2.1	16%	Rhode Island	2.0	NON *	*
Indiana	11.8	6.6	56%	South Carolina	7.6	3.9	51%
lowa	3.9	1.6	41%	South Dakota	2.0	3.9	31%
Kansas	2.0	1.0	55%	Tennessee	9.2	2.5	27%
Kentucky	4.4	4.4	100%	Texas	20.0	7.9	40%
	7.8	1.2	15%	Utah	4.0	3.5	88%
		1.2	118%	Vermont	1.9	*	*
		20					
Maine	1.7	2.0				19	11%
Maine Maryland	1.7 7.8	2.4	31%	Virginia	12.0	4.9	41%
Maine Maryland Massachusetts	1.7 7.8 6.5	2.4 5.0	31% 77%	Virginia Washington	12.0 6.0	4.5	75%
Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan	1.7 7.8 6.5 13.7	2.4 5.0 9.0	31% 77% 66%	Virginia Washington West Virginia	12.0 6.0 2.6	4.5 1.5	75% 58%
Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	1.7 7.8 6.5 13.7 6.9	2.4 5.0 9.0 6.0	31% 77% 66% 87%	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	12.0 6.0 2.6 12.0	4.5 1.5 8.7	75% 58% 73%
Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri NCR No Companie * Fewer than 3 † Total Reporte SAPA Estimat	1.7 7.8 6.5 13.7 6.9 4.8 6.5 es Responding Companies Re ed Tons include ted Tons	2.4 5.0 9.0 6.0 2.8 3.9 porting s values from	31% 77% 66% 87% 58% 60% state with fewer	Virginia Washington West Virginia	12.0 6.0 2.6 12.0 2.5 379.4	4.5 1.5	75% 58%
Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri NCR No Companie * Fewer than 3 † Total Reporte SAPA Estimat	1.7 7.8 6.5 13.7 6.9 4.8 6.5 es Responding Companies Re ed Tons include ted Tons not add up exam	2.4 5.0 9.0 6.0 2.8 3.9 porting s values from ctly due to rou	31% 77% 66% 87% 58% 60% state with fewer	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Total	12.0 6.0 2.6 12.0 2.5 379.4	4.5 1.5 8.7 0.1	75% 58% 73% 4%
NCR No Companie * Fewer than 3 † Total Reporte SAPA Estimat Numbers do	1.7 7.8 6.5 13.7 6.9 4.8 6.5 es Responding Companies Re ed Tons include ted Tons not add up exam	2.4 5.0 9.0 6.0 2.8 3.9 porting s values from ctly due to rou	31% 77% 66% 87% 58% 60% state with fewer	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Total	12.0 6.0 2.6 12.0 2.5 379.4	4.5 1.5 8.7 0.1	75% 58% 73% 4%
Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri NCR No Companie * Fewer than 3 † Total Reporte SAPA Estimat Numbers do 44. Tonnage Esti 44. Tonnage Esti	1.7 7.8 6.5 13.7 6.9 4.8 6.5 es Responding R companies Re ed Tons include ted Tons include ted Tons not add up exa	2.4 5.0 9.0 6.0 2.8 3.9 porting s values from ctly due to rou	31% 77% 66% 87% 58% 60% state with fewer unding	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Total	12.0 6.0 2.6 12.0 2.5 379.4	4.5 1.5 8.7 0.1	75% 58% 73% 4%
Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Missota Missouri NCR No Companie * Fewer than 3 † Total Reporte SAPA Estimat Numbers do	1.7 7.8 6.5 13.7 6.9 4.8 6.5 es Responding R companies Re ed Tons include ted Tons include ted Tons not add up exa	2.4 5.0 9.0 6.0 2.8 3.9 porting s values from ctly due to rou	31% 77% 66% 87% 58% 60% state with fewer unding	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Total	12.0 6.0 2.6 12.0 2.5 379.4	4.5 1.5 8.7 0.1	75% 58% 73% 4%

			- 3. C. O. C.
	ALL	SOME	NONE
DOT mixes	-Q	Q	Q
Other Agency mixes	(O)	0	0
Commercial and Residential mixes	Q.	0	Q
Comments:			
		se of recycling agents or soft	er binders in high Asph
Binder Replacement mixt	Require	Allow	Prohibit
Recycling Agent	C	C	(),(j),(j),(j),(j),(j),(j),(j),(j),(j),(
Softer Binders	0	õ	0
Comments:	N=2	S-1	2-1
		recycled materials in your st wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce			ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.
increasing the RAP perce	nt from 15% to 25% in lo	wer lifts)	ate is possible? (e.g.



National Asphalt Pavement Association

6406 Ivy Lane, Suite 350 Greenbelt, Maryland 20770-1441 www.AsphaltPavement.org napa@AsphaltPavement.org Toll Free: 888-468-6499 Tel: 301-731-4748 Fax: 301-731-4621

Publication Sales: http://store.AsphaltPavement.org napa-orders@abdintl.com Toll Free: 888-600-4474 Tel: 412-741-6314 Fax: 412-741-0609

9th Annual Asphalt Pavement Industry Survey IS 138 — Appendix A

