Mass Loss Task Group Update

Andrew Hanz

MTE Services Inc.

May 10, 2017

Membership and Progress

- Members
 - Mike Anderson
 - Andrew Cascione
 - Codrin Daranga
 - Stacey Glidden
 - Brett Lambden
 - Hassan Tabatabaee

Activities

- 1. Jan 2018 Initial Work Plan Submitted.
- 2. Feb 2018 Kickoff Conference call.
- 3. March 2018 Workplan revised based on task group response.

Goal

Improve Understanding of Mass Loss Parameter

- Reasons specification was included and origins of 1.0% maximum limit.
- Survey of mass loss for current PG XX-34 grades and softer. Both unmodified and modified.
- Evaluation of test procedure. Including variability and effect of test temperature.
- TGA analysis: Effect of temperature ramp rate and volatile loss vs. mass loss.

Survey of Mass Loss Parameter Questions

- Q1: Is mass loss a concern for your products?
- Q2: Please list the unmodified and modified grades supplied with a low temperature grade of -34 or softer.
- Q3: Would you be willing to provide mass loss and certification data for the grades listed in question1?
- Q4a: Would you be willing to submit samples of unmodified grades for testing?
- Q4b: Would you be willing to submit samples of modified grades for testing? Samples will be blinded by Asphalt Institute and shipped to MTE.

Tests include: RTFO mass loss at different temperatures, TGA, mixing and compaction temperature evaluation, and rheological evaluation after extended aging.

Survey of Mass Loss Parameter Administration

- Web-based Survey will be administered.
- Testing details provided in survey.
- Any samples collected will be administered by Asphalt Institute to maintain anonymity of suppliers.
- Materials used in study will be determined based on survey response.

Evaluation of Test Procedure

- 1. Effect of Test Temperature
 - a. Limited data reported in Sept. 2017.
 - b. More data at 163±5°C needed.
- 2. Single Lab Variability
 - a. Preliminary data (MTE) was more precise than precision limits in T240. Reported in September 2017.
- 3. Multi-lab Variability
 - a. WCTG January 2018 Sample. PG 58V-34. Complete.
 - b. CSBG 2018 Q1 Sample. PG 58H-34. Analysis Pending

WCTG Results PG 58V-34

Binder	N	Mean	SE Mean	StDev	Min	Q1	Med	Q3	Max
PG 58H-34 (WI)	34	-0.833	0.0276	0.161	-1.32	-0.895	-0.853	-0.751	-0.300

COV = 19.3% Current Tolerance in CSBG = 20%

WCTG Results PG 58V-34 vs. Other Asphalts



Further Analysis



Temperature T (°C)

Source 1,	Source 2,	Source 3,
PG 46,	PG 52,	PG 46,
10°C/min	10°C/min	10°C/min
Source 1,	Source 2,	Source 3,
PG 46,	PG 52,	PG 46,
5°C/min	5°C/min	5°C/min

Discussion

- Mass loss parameter measurement requires review.
 - -Sensitivity to Test Conditions: Temperature, temperature ramp rate.
 - -Variability depends on magnitude of measurement.
 - 20% tolerance implemented by CSBG seems appropriate.
- Testing in oxidative and inert environment to quantify volatile loss (Planche, Eurobitume 1989)
- Preliminary discussion indicates mass loss is not an issue for most suppliers within the task group.
 - One supplier communicated issues that started last fall.

ETG Feedback

- Comments on Workplan
- Approval to send survey.
- Overlap with NCHRP efforts?

References:

 Claudy, P., King, G., Letoffe, J., Planche, JP. "Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) as a Technique to Study Bitumen Oxidation. Eurobtiume I-17 Report, 1989.

Thank You

Andrew Hanz Technical Director MTE Services andrew.hanz@mteservices.com